

# COMPLEXITY THEORY

## Lecture 9: Space Complexity

Markus Krötzsch

Knowledge-Based Systems

TU Dresden, 15th Nov 2021

More recent versions of this slide deck might be available.  
For the most current version of this course, see  
[https://iccl.inf.tu-dresden.de/web/Complexity\\_Theory/en](https://iccl.inf.tu-dresden.de/web/Complexity_Theory/en)

# Review: Space Complexity Classes

Recall our earlier definitions of space complexities:

**Definition 9.1:** Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a function.

- (1) **DSpace**( $f(n)$ ) is the class of all languages  $L$  for which there is an  $O(f(n))$ -space bounded Turing machine deciding  $L$ .
- (2) **NSpace**( $f(n)$ ) is the class of all languages  $L$  for which there is an  $O(f(n))$ -space bounded nondeterministic Turing machine deciding  $L$ .

Being  $O(f(n))$ -space bounded requires a (nondeterministic) TM

- to halt on every input and
- to use  $\leq f(|w|)$  tape cells on every computation path.

# Space Complexity Classes

Some important space complexity classes:

$$L = \text{LogSpace} = \text{DSpace}(\log n)$$

logarithmic space

$$\text{PSpace} = \bigcup_{d \geq 1} \text{DSpace}(n^d)$$

polynomial space

$$\text{ExpSpace} = \bigcup_{d \geq 1} \text{DSpace}(2^{n^d})$$

exponential space

$$\text{NL} = \text{NLogSpace} = \text{NSpace}(\log n)$$

nondet. logarithmic space

$$\text{NPSpace} = \bigcup_{d \geq 1} \text{NSpace}(n^d)$$

nondet. polynomial space

$$\text{NExpSpace} = \bigcup_{d \geq 1} \text{NSpace}(2^{n^d})$$

nondet. exponential space

# The Power of Space

Space seems to be more powerful than time because space can be reused.

**Example 9.2:** SAT can be solved in linear space:

Just iterate over all possible truth assignments (each linear in size) and check if one satisfies the formula.

**Example 9.3:** TAUTOLOGY can be solved in linear space:

Just iterate over all possible truth assignments (each linear in size) and check if all satisfy the formula.

More generally:  $NP \subseteq PSpace$  and  $coNP \subseteq PSpace$

# Linear Compression

**Theorem 9.4:** For every function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , for all  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for every  $f$ -space bounded (deterministic/nondeterministic) Turing machine  $\mathcal{M}$ :  
there is a  $\max\{1, \frac{1}{c}f(n)\}$ -space bounded (deterministic/nondeterministic) Turing machine  $\mathcal{M}'$  that accepts the same language as  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Proof idea:** Similar to (but much simpler than) linear speed-up. □

This justifies using  $O$ -notation for defining space classes.

# Tape Reduction

**Theorem 9.5:** For every function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  all  $k \geq 1$  and  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ :

If  $L$  can be decided by an  $f$ -space bounded  $k$ -tape Turing-machine, then it can also be decided by an  $f$ -space bounded 1-tape Turing-machine.

**Proof idea:** Combine tapes with a similar reduction as for time. Compress space to avoid linear increase. □

**Note:** We still use a separate read-only input tape to define some space complexities, such as LogSpace.

# Time vs. Space

**Theorem 9.6:** For all functions  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ :

$$\text{DTime}(f) \subseteq \text{DSpace}(f) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{NTime}(f) \subseteq \text{NSpace}(f)$$

**Proof:** Visiting a cell takes at least one time step. □

**Theorem 9.7:** For all functions  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $f(n) \geq \log n$ :

$$\text{DSpace}(f) \subseteq \text{DTime}(2^{O(f)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{NSpace}(f) \subseteq \text{DTime}(2^{O(f)})$$

**Proof:** Based on configuration graphs and a bound on the number of possible configurations. **Proof:** Build the configuration graph (time  $2^{O(f(n))}$ ) and find a path from the start to an accepting stop configuration (time  $2^{O(f(n))}$ ). □

# Number of Possible Configurations

Let  $\mathcal{M} := (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, q_0, \delta, q_{\text{start}})$  be a 2-tape Turing machine  
(1 read-only input tape + 1 work tape)

Recall: A configuration of  $\mathcal{M}$  is a quadruple  $(q, p_1, p_2, x)$  where

- $q \in Q$  is the current state,
- $p_i \in \mathbb{N}$  is the head position on tape  $i$ , and
- $x \in \Gamma^*$  is the tape content.

Let  $w \in \Sigma^*$  be an input to  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $n := |w|$ .

- Then also  $p_1 \leq n$ .
- If  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $f(n)$ -space bounded we can assume  $p_2 \leq f(n)$  and  $|x| \leq f(n)$

Hence, there are at most

$$|Q| \cdot n \cdot f(n) \cdot |\Gamma|^{f(n)} = n \cdot 2^{O(f(n))} = 2^{O(f(n))}$$

different configurations on inputs of length  $n$  (the last equality requires  $f(n) \geq \log n$ ).



# Configuration Graphs

The possible computations of a TM  $\mathcal{M}$  (on input  $w$ ) form a directed graph:

- Vertices: configurations that  $\mathcal{M}$  can reach (on input  $w$ )
- Edges: there is an edge from  $C_1$  to  $C_2$  if  $C_1 \vdash_{\mathcal{M}} C_2$   
( $C_2$  reachable from  $C_1$  in a single step)

This yields the **configuration graph**:

- Could be infinite in general.
- For  $f(n)$ -space bounded 2-tape TMs,  
there can be at most  $2^{O(f(n))}$  vertices and  $(2^{O(f(n))})^2 = 2^{O(f(n))}$  edges

A **computation** of  $\mathcal{M}$  on input  $w$  corresponds to a **path** in the configuration graph from the **start** configuration to a **stop** configuration.

Hence, to test if  $\mathcal{M}$  accepts input  $w$ ,

- construct the configuration graph and
- find a path from the start to an accepting stop configuration.

# Time vs. Space

**Theorem 9.6:** For all functions  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ :

$$\text{DTime}(f) \subseteq \text{DSpace}(f) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{NTime}(f) \subseteq \text{NSpace}(f)$$

**Proof:** Visiting a cell takes at least one time step. □

**Theorem 9.7:** For all functions  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $f(n) \geq \log n$ :

$$\text{DSpace}(f) \subseteq \text{DTime}(2^{O(f)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{NSpace}(f) \subseteq \text{DTime}(2^{O(f)})$$

**Proof:** Based on configuration graphs and a bound on the number of possible configurations. **Proof:** Build the configuration graph (time  $2^{O(f(n))}$ ) and find a path from the start to an accepting stop configuration (time  $2^{O(f(n))}$ ). □

# Basic Space/Time Relationships

Applying the results of the previous slides, we get the following relations:

$$L \subseteq NL \subseteq P \subseteq NP \subseteq PSpace \subseteq NPSpace \subseteq ExpTime \subseteq NExpTime$$

We also noted  $P \subseteq coNP \subseteq PSpace$ .

Open questions:

- What is the relationship between space classes and their co-classes?
- What is the relationship between deterministic and non-deterministic space classes?

# Nondeterminism in Space

Most experts think that nondeterministic TMs can solve strictly more problems when given the same amount of time than a deterministic TM:

Most believe that  $P \subsetneq NP$

How about nondeterminism in space-bounded TMs?

**Theorem 9.8 (Savitch's Theorem, 1970):** For any function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $f(n) \geq \log n$ :

$$NSpace(f(n)) \subseteq DSpace(f^2(n)).$$



That is: nondeterminism adds **almost** no power to space-bounded TMs!

# Consequences of Savitch's Theorem

**Theorem 9.8 (Savitch's Theorem, 1970):** For any function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $f(n) \geq \log n$ :

$$\text{NSpace}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{DSpace}(f^2(n)).$$

**Corollary 9.9:**  $\text{PSpace} = \text{NPSpace}$ .

**Proof:**  $\text{PSpace} \subseteq \text{NPSpace}$  is clear. The converse follows since the square of a polynomial is still a polynomial. □

Similarly for “bigger” classes, e.g.,  $\text{ExpSpace} = \text{NExpSpace}$ .

**Corollary 9.10:**  $\text{NL} \subseteq \text{DSpace}(O(\log^2 n))$ .

Note that  $\log^2(n) \notin O(\log n)$ , so we do not obtain  $\text{NL} = \text{L}$  from this.

# Proving Savitch's Theorem

Simulating nondeterminism with more space:

- Use configuration graph of nondeterministic space-bounded TM
- Check if an accepting configuration can be reached
- Store only one computation path at a time (depth-first search)

This still requires exponential space. We want quadratic space!

**What to do?**

Things we can do:

- Store one configuration:
  - one configuration requires  $\log n + O(f(n))$  space
  - if  $f(n) \geq \log n$ , then this is  $O(f(n))$  space
- Store  $f(n)$  configurations (remember we have  $f^2(n)$  space)
- Iterate over all configurations (one by one)

# Proving Savitch's Theorem: Key Idea

To find out if we can reach an accepting configuration, we solve a slightly more general question:

## YIELDABILITY

Input: TM configurations  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , integer  $k$

Problem: Can TM get from  $C_1$  to  $C_2$  in at most  $k$  steps?

**Approach:** check if there is an intermediate configuration  $C'$  such that

- (1)  $C_1$  can reach  $C'$  in  $k/2$  steps and
  - (2)  $C'$  can reach  $C_2$  in  $k/2$  steps
- ~> **Deterministic:** we can try all  $C'$  (iteration)
- ~> **Space-efficient:** we can reuse the same space for both steps

# An Algorithm for Yieldability

```
01 CANYIELD( $C_1, C_2, k$ ) {
02   if  $k = 1$  :
03     return ( $C_1 = C_2$ ) or ( $C_1 \vdash_M C_2$ )
04   else if  $k > 1$  :
05     for each configuration  $C$  of  $M$  for input size  $n$  :
06       if CANYIELD( $C_1, C, k/2$ ) and
07         CANYIELD( $C, C_2, k/2$ ) :
08         return true
09   // eventually, if no success:
10   return false
11 }
```

- We only call CanYield only with  $k$  a power of 2, so  $k/2 \in \mathbb{N}$



# Space Requirement for the Algorithm

```
01 CANYIELD( $C_1, C_2, k$ ) {
02   if  $k = 1$  :
03     return ( $C_1 = C_2$ ) or ( $C_1 \vdash_M C_2$ )
04   else if  $k > 1$  :
05     for each configuration  $C$  of  $M$  for input size  $n$  :
06       if CANYIELD( $C_1, C, k/2$ ) and
07         CANYIELD( $C, C_2, k/2$ ) :
08         return true
09   // eventually, if no success:
10   return false
11 }
```

- During iteration (line 05), we store one  $C$  in  $O(f(n))$
- Calls in lines 06 and 07 can reuse the same space
- Maximum depth of recursive call stack:  $\log_2 k$

Overall space usage:  $O(f(n) \cdot \log k)$

# Simulating Nondeterministic Space-Bounded TMs

Input: TM  $\mathcal{M}$  that runs in  $\text{NSpace}(f(n))$ ; input word  $w$  of length  $n$

Algorithm:

- Modify  $\mathcal{M}$  to have a unique accepting configuration  $C_{\text{accept}}$ :  
when accepting, erase tape and move head to the very left
- Select  $d$  such that  $2^{df(n)} \geq |Q| \cdot n \cdot f(n) \cdot |\Gamma|^{f(n)}$
- Return  $\text{CanYield}(C_{\text{start}}, C_{\text{accept}}, k)$  with  $k = 2^{df(n)}$

Space requirements:

$\text{CanYield}$  runs in space

$$O(f(n) \cdot \log k) = O(f(n) \cdot \log 2^{df(n)}) = O(f(n) \cdot df(n)) = O(f^2(n))$$

# Did We Really Do It?

“Select  $d$  such that  $2^{df(n)} \geq |Q| \cdot n \cdot f(n) \cdot |\Gamma|^{f(n)}$ ”

How does the algorithm actually do this?

- $f(n)$  was not part of the input!
- Even if we knew  $f$ , it might not be easy to compute!

**Solution:** replace  $f(n)$  by a parameter  $\ell$  and probe its value

- (1) Start with  $\ell = 1$
- (2) Check if  $\mathcal{M}$  can reach any configuration with more than  $\ell$  tape cells (iterate over all configurations of size  $\ell + 1$ ; use CanYield on each)
- (3) If yes, increase  $\ell$  by 1; goto (2)
- (4) Run algorithm as before, with  $f(n)$  replaced by  $\ell$

Therefore: we don't need to know  $f$  at all. This finishes the proof. □

# Summary: Relationships of Space and Time

Summing up, we get the following relations:

$$L \subseteq NL \subseteq P \subseteq NP \subseteq PSpace = NPSpace \subseteq ExpTime \subseteq NExpTime$$

We also noted  $P \subseteq coNP \subseteq PSpace$ .

## Open questions:

- Is Savitch's Theorem tight?
- Are there any interesting problems in these space classes?
- We have  $PSpace = NPSpace = coNPSpace$ .  
But what about  $L$ ,  $NL$ , and  $coNL$ ?

↪ the first: **nobody knows** (YCTBF); the others: see upcoming lectures