

Exercise Sheet 2  
Undecidability and Rice's Theorem

David Carral

October 23, 2019

## Exercise Sheet 2

**Exercise 1.** Using an oracle that decides the halting problem, construct a decider for the language  $\{\langle \mathcal{M}, w \rangle \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is a TM that accepts } w\}$ .

**Definition.** An *Oracle Turing Machine* (OTM) is a TM  $\mathcal{M}$  with a special tape, called the *oracle tape*, and distinguished states  $q_?$ ,  $q_{\text{yes}}$ , and  $q_{\text{no}}$ . For a language  $\mathbf{O}$ , the *oracle machine*  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{O}}$  can, in addition to the normal TM operations, do the following: Whenever  $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{O}}$  reaches  $q_?$ , its next state is  $q_{\text{yes}}$  if the content of the oracle tape is in  $\mathbf{O}$ , and  $q_{\text{no}}$  otherwise.

### Solution.

- ▶ Let  $\mathbf{H} = \{\langle \mathcal{M}, w \rangle \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is a TM that halts on input } w\}$ .
- ▶ We define an oracle machine  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathbf{H}}$  that, on input  $\langle \mathcal{M}, w \rangle$ , does the following:
  - ▶ Construct the TM  $\mathcal{M}'$ , which is obtained by extending  $\mathcal{M}$  in the following manner:
    - ▶ Add a fresh state  $q_{\infty}$  to  $\mathcal{M}'$ .
    - ▶ For every tape symbol  $a$ , add  $\langle q_{\infty}, a \rangle \mapsto \langle q_{\infty}, a, R \rangle$  to the transition function of  $\mathcal{M}'$ .
    - ▶ For every non-accepting state  $q$  and every tape symbol  $a$  such that  $\delta(q, a)$  is undefined, add  $\langle q, a \rangle \mapsto \langle q_{\infty}, a, R \rangle$  to the transition function of  $\mathcal{M}'_{\infty}$ .
  - ▶ Use the oracle tape of  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathbf{H}}$  to determine whether  $\mathcal{M}'$  halts with input  $w$ . If that is the case, output *accept*; otherwise, *reject*.

## Exercise Sheet 2

**Exercise 2.** A *useless state* in a Turing machine is one that is never entered on any input string. Consider the problem of determining whether a Turing machine has any useless states. Show that this language is undecidable.

### Solution.

- ▶ Suppose for a contradiction that there is a TM  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $L(\mathcal{U}) = \{\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ contains some useless state}\}$ .
- ▶ Using  $\mathcal{U}$ , we construct a TM  $\mathcal{E}$  that solves the empty word problem (which is undecidable).
- ▶ On input  $\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle$ , the TM  $\mathcal{E}$  performs the following computation:
  - ▶ Write down the encoding of a TM  $\mathcal{M}'$  that (1) deletes the content in the input string, (2) places the head at the beginning of the tape, and (3) executes  $\mathcal{M}$ . Note that,  $\mathcal{M}$  accepts the empty word iff  $L(\mathcal{M}') = \Sigma^*$  iff  $L(\mathcal{M}') \neq \emptyset$ .
  - ▶ Produce the encoding of a TM  $\mathcal{M}''$  which results from pruning all useless states in  $\mathcal{M}'$ . Note that, we can construct this TM using  $\mathcal{U}$ .
  - ▶ *Accept* iff  $\mathcal{M}''$  contains some final state.

**Remark.** We assume that once a TM goes into a final state it halts and accepts.

## Exercise Sheet 2

**Exercise 3.** Show the following: “If a language  $L$  is Turing-recognisable and  $\bar{L}$  is many-one reducible to  $L$ , then  $L$  is decidable.”

**Remark.**  $\bar{L} = \{w \mid w \notin L\}$

**Definition.** Consider some languages  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$  defined over the alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Then,  $\mathbf{P}$  is *many-one reducible* to  $\mathbf{Q}$  if there exists a total computable function  $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  such that  $w \in \mathbf{P}$  iff  $f(w) \in \mathbf{Q}$  for all  $w \in \Sigma^*$ .

**Solution.** Step-by-step proof.

- (a) Premise:  $L$  is Turing-recognisable.
- (b) Premise:  $\bar{L}$  is many-one reducible to  $L$ .
- (c) By (a) and (b):  $\bar{L}$  is Turing-recognisable.
- (d) By (a) and (c):  $L$  and  $\bar{L}$  can be enumerated.
- (e) By (d): Given some word  $w$ , we can enumerate all words in  $L$  and  $\bar{L}$  in parallel. Eventually, we will be able to determine whether  $w$  is in  $L$  or not.

## Exercise Sheet 2

**Exercise 4.** Let  $L = \{\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ a TM that accepts } w^r \text{ whenever it accepts } w\}$ , where  $w^r$  is the word  $w$  reversed. Show that  $L$  is undecidable.

**Definition 4.2:** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a set of languages. A language  $L$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$  if  $L \in \mathcal{P}$ . Property  $\mathcal{P}$  is a **non-trivial** property of recognisable languages if there are TM-recognisable languages that have it and others that do not have it.

**Theorem 4.1 (Rice's Theorem):** If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a non-trivial property of recognisable languages, then the following problem is undecidable:

$$\mathcal{P}\text{-ness} = \{\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle \mid L(\mathcal{M}) \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

**Solution.** Step-by-step proof.

- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the property containing a language  $L'$  iff  $w \in L' \iff w^r \in L'$  for every  $w \in \Sigma^*$ . Note that, a property is a set of languages, i.e., a set of sets of words.
- ▶  $L$  is the set of all TM encodings  $\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle$  that accept some language in  $\mathcal{P}$ .
- ▶ Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is non-trivial,  $L$  is undecidable by Rice's Theorem.

## Exercise Sheet 2

**Exercise 5.** Consider the following languages  $L$  and  $L'$ :

$$L = \{\langle \mathcal{M}, w \rangle \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ accepts } w\} \quad L' = \{\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ does not accept any word}\}$$

Show that there cannot exist a many-one reduction from  $L$  to  $L'$ .

**Definition.** Consider some languages  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$  defined over the alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Then,  $\mathbf{P}$  is *many-one reducible* to  $\mathbf{Q}$  if there exists a total computable function  $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  such that  $w \in \mathbf{P}$  iff  $f(w) \in \mathbf{Q}$  for all  $w \in \Sigma^*$ .

**Solution.**

- (a) Suppose for a contradiction that  $L \leq_m L'$ .
- (b) By (a):  $\bar{L} \leq_m \bar{L}'$ .
- (c)  $\bar{L}'$  is semi-decidable (see Exercise 10 on the previous exercise sheet).
- (d) By (b) and (c):  $\bar{L}$  is semi-decidable.
- (e)  $L$  is semi-decidable (discuss).
- (f) By (d) and (e):  $L$  is decidable.
- (g)  $L$  is undecidable (discuss).
- (h) By (f) and (g): Contradiction!

## Exercise Sheet 2

**Exercise 6.** Show that every Turing-recognisable language can be mapping-reduced to the following language.

$$L = \{\langle \mathcal{M}, w \rangle \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is a TM that accepts the word } w\}$$

**Definition.** Consider some languages **P** and **Q** defined over the alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Then, **P** is *many-one reducible* to **Q** if there exists a total computable function  $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  such that  $w \in \mathbf{P}$  iff  $f(w) \in \mathbf{Q}$  for all  $w \in \Sigma^*$ .

**Solution.**

- (a) Let  $L'$  be a semi-decidable language.
- (b) By (a): There is some TM  $\mathcal{M}$  that recognises  $L'$ .
- (c) Let  $f$  be the Turing-computable function mapping a word  $w$  to  $\langle \mathcal{M}, w \rangle$ .
- (d) By (c): For every  $w \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $w \in L'$  iff  $\langle \mathcal{M}, w \rangle \in L$ .
- (e) By (c) and (d):  $L' \leq_m L$ .