



Hannes Strass (based on slides by Michael Thielscher)
Faculty of Computer Science, Institute of Artificial Intelligence, Computational Logic Group

## **Unification**

Lecture 2, 20th Oct 2025 // Foundations of Logic Programming, WS 2025/26

## Previously ...

### **Prolog Programs**

- Prolog programs consist of facts and rules.
- We use Prolog by asking queries to programs.
- Answers to queries can be Boolean (yes/no) ...
- ...or given by variable assignments.
- Prolog programs are declarative (to a certain extent).

```
\begin{array}{llll} \mbox{direct}(\mbox{frankfurt}, \mbox{san\_francisco}). & \mbox{connection}(\mbox{X}, \mbox{Y}) :- \mbox{direct}(\mbox{X}, \mbox{Y}). \\ \mbox{direct}(\mbox{francisco}, \mbox{honolulu}). & \mbox{connection}(\mbox{X}, \mbox{Y}) :- \mbox{direct}(\mbox{X}, \mbox{Y}). \\ \mbox{direct}(\mbox{honolulu}, \mbox{maui}). & \mbox{connection}(\mbox{Z}, \mbox{Y}). \\ \mbox{linear}(\mbox{Francisco}, \mbox{Francisco}). & \mbox{connection}(\mbox{X}, \mbox{Y}) :- \mbox{direct}(\mbox{X}, \mbox{Y}). \\ \mbox{connection}(\mbox{Z}, \mbox{Y}). \\ \mbox{linear}(\mbox{Francisco}, \mbox{Francisco}). & \mbox{linear}(\mbox{Francisco}, \mbox{Francisco}). \\ \mbox{linear}(\mbox{Francisco}, \mbox{Francisco}, \mbox{Francisco}). & \mbox{connection}(\mbox{X}, \mbox{Y}) :- \mbox{direct}(\mbox{X}, \mbox{Y}). \\ \mbox{linear}(\mbox{Francisco}, \mbox{Francisco}, \mbox{Francisco}, \mbox{Francisco}). \\ \mbox{linear}(\mbox{Francisco}, \mbox{Francisco}, \
```





### The Need to Perform Unification

```
p(f(X), q(f(c), X)).
| ?- p(U,q(V,f(W))).
U = f(f(W)),
V = f(c)
| ?- p(U,q(c,f(W))).
no
| ?- p(U,q(V,U)).
```





### **Overview**

Ranked Alphabets and Terms

Substitutions

Unifiers and Most General Unifiers

Martelli-Montanari Algorithm





# **Ranked Alphabets and Terms**





## **Ranked Alphabets and Term Universes**

- A variable is a first-order predicate logic variable.
- A **ranked alphabet** is a finite set  $\Sigma$  of symbols; every symbol has an assigned natural number  $n \ge 0$  (its **arity** or **rank**).  $(\Sigma^{(n)}$  denotes the subset of  $\Sigma$  with symbols of arity n.)
- · Parentheses, commas
- For V a set of variables and F a ranked alphabet of function symbols:

The **term universe**  $TU_{F,V}$  (over F and V) is the smallest set with

- 1.  $V \subseteq TU_{F,V}$ ;
- 2. if  $f \in F^{(0)}$ , then  $f \in TU_{F,V}$ ;
- 3. if  $f \in F^{(n)}$  with  $n \ge 1$  and  $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in TU_{F,V}$ , then  $f(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in TU_{F,V}$ .

The elements of  $TU_{F,V}$  are called **terms**.





### **Ground Terms and Herbrand Universes**

• Var(t) := set of variables in t(defined by structural induction:  $Var(x) = \{x\} \text{ if } x \in V, \text{ and}$   $Var(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} Var(t_i) \text{ otherwise})$ generalises to sets of terms:  $Var(T) := \bigcup_{t \in T} Var(t)$ 

- t ground term : $\iff Var(t) = \emptyset$
- F ranked alphabet of function symbols: **Herbrand universe**  $HU_F$  (over F) : $\iff$   $TU_{F,\emptyset}$
- s **sub-term** of t : $\iff$  term s is sub-string of t (equivalently: sub-tree)





## **Substitutions**





### **Substitutions**

#### Definition

Let *V* be a set of variables,  $X \subseteq V$  be finite, and *F* be a ranked alphabet. A **substitution** is a function  $\theta: X \to TU_{F,V}$  with  $x \neq \theta(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ .

We use the notation  $\theta = \{x_1/t_1, \dots, x_n/t_n\}$  to express that

- 1.  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , and
- 2.  $\theta(x_i) = t_i$  for every  $x_i \in X$ .
- **empty** substitution  $\varepsilon :\iff n = 0$
- $\theta$  **ground** substitution : $\iff$   $t_1, ..., t_n$  ground terms
- $\theta$  **pure variable** substitution : $\iff t_1, \ldots, t_n$  variables
- $\theta$  renaming  $\iff$   $\{t_1,\ldots,t_n\}=\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$





## **Substitutions (2)**

Consider a substitution  $\theta = \{x_1/t_1, \dots, x_n/t_n\}$ .

```
Dom(\theta) := \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}

Range(\theta) := \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}

Var(\theta) := Dom(\theta) \cup Var(Range(\theta))

\theta \mid_{Y} := \{y/t \mid y/t \in \theta \text{ and } y \in Y\}
```

for every  $Y \subseteq \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ 





# **Applying Substitutions**

#### Definition

Let *t* be a term and  $\theta$  be a substitution.

The **application of**  $\theta$  **to** t is the term  $t\theta$  obtained as follows:

1. If 
$$t = x$$
 is a variable, then  $t\theta = x\theta := \begin{cases} \theta(x) & \text{if } x \in Dom(\theta), \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

- 2. If  $t = c \in \Sigma^{(0)}$  is a constant symbol, then  $t\theta = c\theta := c$ .
- 3. If  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  for an  $f \in \Sigma^{(n)}$ , then  $t\theta = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)\theta := f(t_1\theta, \dots, t_n\theta)$ .
- *t* is an **instance** of *s* : $\iff$  there is a substitution  $\theta$  with  $s\theta = t$
- t is a **variant** of s : $\iff$  there is a renaming  $\theta$  with  $s\theta = t$

#### Lemma 2.5

Term t is a variant of term s iff t is an instance of s and s is an instance of t.

Proof Idea: A renaming  $\theta$  is a permutation;  $\theta$  and its inverse  $\theta^{-1}$  relate s and t.





## Composition

#### Definition

Let  $\theta$  and  $\eta$  be substitutions. The **composition**  $\theta\eta$  is defined by setting

$$(\theta \eta)(x) := (x\theta)\eta$$

for each variable x.

Intuition: First apply  $\theta$ , then apply  $\eta$ .

#### Lemma

Let  $\theta = \{x_1/t_1, \dots, x_n/t_n\}, \eta = \{y_1/s_1, \dots, y_m/s_m\}.$ 

Then  $\theta \eta$  can be constructed from the sequence

$$x_1/t_1\eta,\ldots,x_n/t_n\eta, y_1/s_1,\ldots,y_m/s_m$$

- 1. by removing all bindings  $x_i/t_i\eta$  where  $x_i = t_i\eta$  and all bindings  $y_i/s_i$  where  $y_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , and
- 2. then forming a substitution from the resulting sequence.





## **Comparing Substitutions**

#### Definition

```
Let \theta and \tau be substitutions. \theta is at least as general as \tau :\Longleftrightarrow \tau = \theta \eta for some substitution \eta.
```

### **Examples**

- $\theta = \{x/y\}$  is at least as general as  $\tau = \{x/a, y/a\}$  (with  $\eta = \{y/a\}$ )
- $\theta = \{x/y\}$  is not at least as general as  $\tau = \{x/a\}$  (If there were an  $\eta$  with  $\tau = \theta \eta$ , then:  $x/a \in \{x/y\}\eta \implies y/a \in \eta \implies y \in Dom(\theta \eta) = Dom(\tau)$ , contradiction.)
- $\theta$  is at least as general as  $\theta$  for every  $\theta$ , via  $\theta = \theta \varepsilon$
- $\theta = \{x/y\}$  is at least as general as  $\tau = \{y/x\}$  (with  $\eta = \tau$ ), and  $\tau$  is at least as general as  $\theta$  (with  $\eta = \theta$ ), but  $\theta \neq \tau$ .  $\rightarrow$  "at least as general as" is not a partial order on substitutions





## **Unifiers and Most General Unifiers**





### **Unifiers**

#### Definition

Let s and t be terms.

- Substitution  $\theta$  is a **unifier** of s and t : $\iff$   $s\theta = t\theta$ .
- Terms s and t are **unifiable** : $\iff$  a unifier of s and t exists.
- Substitution  $\theta$  is a **most general unifier** (**mgu**) of s and t : $\iff$   $\theta$  is a unifier of s and t that is at least as general as all unifiers of s and t.

#### Definition

Let  $s_1, \ldots, s_n, t_1, \ldots, t_n$  be terms, let  $s_i \doteq t_i$  denote the (ordered) pair  $(s_i, t_i)$  and let  $E = \{s_1 \doteq t_1, \ldots, s_n \doteq t_n\}$ .

- Substitution  $\theta$  is a **unifier** of E : $\iff$   $s_i\theta = t_i\theta$  for every  $1 \le i \le n$ .
- θ is a most general unifier (mgu) of E : ⇒
   θ is a unifier of E that is at least as general as all unifiers of E.





# **Unifying Sets of Pairs of Terms**

#### Definition

- Sets E and E' of pairs of terms are equivalent
   :⇒ E and E' have the same set of unifiers.
- The set  $\{x_1 \doteq t_1, \dots, x_n \doteq t_n\}$  of pairs is **solved** : $\iff x_i, x_j$  pairwise distinct variables  $(1 \leq i \neq j \leq n)$  and no  $x_i$  occurs in  $t_i$   $(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$ .

#### Lemma

If  $E = \{x_1 \doteq t_1, \dots, x_n \doteq t_n\}$  is solved, then  $\theta = \{x_1/t_1, \dots, x_n/t_n\}$  is an mgu of E.

#### Proof.

- 1.  $x_i\theta = t_i = t_i\theta$
- 2. for every unifier  $\eta$  of E:  $x_i \eta = t_i \eta = x_i \theta \eta$  for every  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $x \eta = x \theta \eta$  for every  $x \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ ; thus  $\eta = \theta \eta$ .





## **Quiz: Most General Unifiers**

### Quiz

Consider the following set of pairs:

$$E = \{ f(a, y) \doteq x, g(y) \doteq g(z) \}$$

...





# **Martelli-Montanari Algorithm**





## Martelli-Montanari Algorithm

Let *E* be a set of pairs of terms.

### Martelli-Montanari Algorithm

As long as possible, nondeterministically choose a pair of a form below and perform the associated action:

(1) 
$$f(s_1,...,s_n) = f(t_1,...,t_n)$$

(2) 
$$f(s_1,...,s_n) = g(t_1,...,t_m)$$
 where  $f \neq g$ 

(3) 
$$x \doteq x$$

(4) 
$$t \doteq x$$
 where  $t$  is not a variable

(5) 
$$x = t$$
 where  $x \notin Var(t)$  and  $x$  occurs in some other pair

(6) 
$$x \doteq t$$
 where  $x \in Var(t)$  and  $x \neq t$ 

replace by 
$$s_1 \doteq t_1, \dots, s_n \doteq t_n$$

halt with failure

delete the pair

replace by x = t

perform substitution  $\{x/t\}$ on all other pairs

halt with failure

Terminate with success when no action can be performed.

- $(2) \stackrel{.}{=}$  "clash"





## Martelli-Montanari (Theorem)

#### Theorem

If the original set E has a unifier, then the algorithm successfully terminates and produces a solved set E' that is equivalent to E; otherwise the algorithm terminates with failure.

Corollary: In case of success, E' determines an mgu of E.

### **Proof Steps**

- 1. Prove that the algorithm terminates.
- 2. Prove that each action replaces the set of pairs by an equivalent one.
- 3. Prove that if the algorithm terminates successfully, then the final set of pairs is solved.
- 4. Prove that if the algorithm terminates with failure, then the set of pairs at the moment of failure does not have a unifier.





### Relations

*R* **relation** on a set  $A :\iff R \subseteq A \times A$ 

*R* **reflexive** : $\iff$  (a, a)  $\in$  *R* for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ 

*R* **irreflexive** : $\iff$  (a, a)  $\notin$  *R* for all  $a \in A$ 

*R* antisymmetric : $\iff$   $(a,b) \in R$  and  $(b,a) \in R$  implies a=b

*R* **transitive** : $\iff$   $(a,b) \in R$  and  $(b,c) \in R$  implies  $(a,c) \in R$ 





## Well-founded Order(ing)s

- $(A, \sqsubseteq)$  partial order
  - $:\iff \sqsubseteq$  reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive relation on  $\mathcal A$
- $(A, \Box)$  strict partial order
  - $\iff$   $\sqsubseteq$  irreflexive and transitive relation on  $\mathcal{A}$
- strict partial order  $(A, \Box)$  well-founded
  - :⇔ there is no infinite descending chain

$$\ldots \sqsubset a_2 \sqsubset a_1 \sqsubset a_0$$

of elements  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots \in A$ 

### Examples

- (N, ≤), (Z, ≤), (P({1, 2, 3}), ⊆) are partial orders;
- (N, <), ( $\mathbb{Z}$ , <), ( $\mathbb{P}(\{1,2,3\})$ ),  $\subsetneq$ ) are strict partial orders;
- (N, <), (P({1, 2, 3}), ⊊) are well-founded,</li>
- whereas (Z, <) is not.</li>





# **Lexicographic Ordering**

The **lexicographic ordering**  $\prec_n$  ( $n \ge 1$ ) is defined inductively on the set  $\mathbb{N}^n$  of n-tuples of natural numbers:

$$(a_1) \prec_1 (b_1) :\iff a_1 < b_1$$
 and  $(a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}) \prec_{n+1} (b_1, \ldots, b_{n+1}) :\iff (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \prec_n (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$  or  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$  and  $a_{n+1} < b_{n+1}$ 

### Example

For n = 3, we have  $(3, 12, 7) \prec_3 (4, 2, 1)$  and  $(8, 4, 2) \prec_3 (8, 4, 3)$ .

#### Theorem

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the pair  $(\mathbb{N}^n, \prec_n)$  is a well-founded strict partial order.





## Proof Step 1 (1)

### Proposition

The Martelli-Montanari Algorithm terminates.

#### Definition

```
Variable x is solved in E
```

: $\iff x \doteq t \in E$ , and this is the only occurrence of x in E.

*uns(E)* := number of variables in *E* that are unsolved

*Ifun(E)* := number of occurrences of function symbols

in the first (left) components of pairs in E

card(E) := number of pairs in E

### Example

Consider  $E = \{ f(x) = f(y), y = a \}$ . Then uns(E) = 2, lfun(E) = 1, card(E) = 2.





# Proof Step 1 (2)

### Proposition

The Martelli-Montanari Algorithm terminates.

#### Proof.

Each successful action reduces (uns(E), lfun(E), card(E)) wrt.  $\prec_3$ .

For every  $u, l, c \in \mathbb{N}$  the reduction is as follows:

- (1)  $(u, l, c) \succ_3 (u k, \underline{l-1}, c+n-1)$  for some  $k \in [0, n]$
- (3)  $(u, l, c) >_3 (u k, l, \underline{c 1})$  for some  $k \in \{0, 1\}$
- (4)  $(u, l, c) \rightarrow_3 (u k_1, l k_2, c)$  for some  $k_1 \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $k_2 \ge 1$
- (5)  $(u, l, c) >_3 (u-1, l+k, c)$  for some  $k \ge 1$

Termination is now a consequence of  $(N^3, \prec_3)$  being well-founded.





# **Proof Step 2**

### Proposition

Each action replaces the set of pairs by an equivalent one.

#### Proof.

```
This is obviously true for actions (1), (3), and (4).
```

Regarding action (5), consider  $E \cup \{x = t\}$  and  $E\{x/t\} \cup \{x = t\}$ . Then:

```
\theta is a unifier of E \cup \{x = t\}
iff \theta is a unifier of E and x\theta = t\theta
iff \theta is a unifier of E\{x/t\} and x\theta = t\theta
iff \theta is a unifier of E\{x/t\} \cup \{x = t\}
```





# **Proof Step 3**

### Proposition

If the algorithm successfully terminates, then the final set of pairs is solved.

#### Proof.

- If the algorithm successfully terminates, then the actions (1), (2), and (4) do not apply, so each pair in E is of the form x = t with x being a variable.
- Moreover, actions (3), (5), and (6) do not apply, so the variables in the first components of all pairs in E are pairwise disjoint and do not occur in the second component of a pair in E.





# **Proof Step 4**

### Proposition

If the algorithm terminates with failure, then the set of pairs at the moment of failure does not have a unifier.

#### Proof.

• If the failure results from action (2), then some

$$f(s_1,\ldots,s_n) \doteq g(t_1,\ldots,t_m)$$

occurs in E (where  $f \neq g$ ), and for no substitution  $\theta$  we have  $f(s_1, \ldots, s_n)\theta = g(t_1, \ldots, t_m)\theta$ .

• If the failure results by action (6), then some x = t (where x is a proper subterm of t) occurs in E, and for no substitution  $\theta$  we have  $x\theta = t\theta$ .





# **Unifiers may be Exponential**

$$E_{1} = \{f(x_{1}) \doteq f(g(x_{0}, x_{0}))\}$$

$$\theta_{1} = \{x_{1}/g(x_{0}, x_{0})\}$$

$$E_{2} = \{f(x_{1}, x_{2}) \doteq f(g(x_{0}, x_{0}), g(x_{1}, x_{1}))\}$$

$$\theta_{2} = \theta_{1} \cup \{x_{2}/g(g(x_{0}, x_{0}), g(x_{0}, x_{0}))\}$$

$$E_{3} = \{f(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}) \doteq f(g(x_{0}, x_{0}), g(x_{1}, x_{1}), g(x_{2}, x_{2}))\}$$

$$\theta_{3} = \theta_{2} \cup \{x_{3}/g(g(g(x_{0}, x_{0}), g(x_{0}, x_{0})), g(g(x_{0}, x_{0}), g(x_{0}, x_{0})))\}$$







# MM Algorithm without Occur Check

- In most PROLOG systems the occur check does not apply, for the sake of efficiency.
- As for the Martelli-Montanari algorithm this amounts to omitting the occur check in action (5) and to drop action (6).
- Then the algorithm terminates with success, e.g., for  $\{x = f(x)\}$ , despite x and f(x) not being unifiable.
- Moreover, the algorithm may not terminate at all:

```
 \{x \doteq f(x), \ y \doteq g(x)\} 
 \stackrel{(5)}{\leadsto} \quad \{x \doteq f(x), \ y \doteq g(f(x))\} 
 \stackrel{(5)}{\leadsto} \quad \{x \doteq f(x), \ y \doteq g(f(f(x)))\}
```





### **Conclusion**

### **Summary**

- A **substitution** replaces variables by terms, and is applied to terms.
- A **unifier** is a substitution that equates two terms when applied to them.
- The Martelli-Montanari Algorithm decides if a set of pairs of terms has a unifier and even outputs a (most general) unifier if one exists.
- The algorithm is correct (i.e., sound and complete) and terminates.

### Suggested action points:

- Try out the Martelli-Montanari Algorithm on a few examples by hand.
- Verify your results using a Prolog system (try to turn the occur check on).
- Come up with examples how the different values for parameters k,  $k_1$ , and  $k_2$  in proof step 1 could be realised.



