# Lecture 3

# **Complete Constraint Solvers**

Foundations of Constraint Programming

Complete Constraint Solvers

# Outline

- Introduce a simple proof theoretic framework
- Use it to define complete solvers
- Show how the standard unification problem can be interpreted as CSP
- Discuss Gauss-Jordan Elimination and Gaussian Elimination algorithms for solving linear equations over reals

### **Proof Theoretic Framework**

Rules that transform CSP's

 $\frac{\langle \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{D}\mathcal{E} \rangle}{\langle \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{D}\mathcal{E}' \rangle}$ 

 $\frac{\phi}{\psi}$ 

A rule

is equivalence preserving if  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are equivalent

• All considered rules will be equivalence preserving

# Types of Rules

Domain reduction rules

- $\mathcal{DE} \coloneqq x_1 \in D_1, ..., x_n \in D_n$
- $\mathcal{DE} \coloneqq x_1 \in D'_1, ..., x_n \in D'_n$
- for  $i \in [1..n]$  $D'_i \subseteq D_i$
- C': restriction of all constraints in C to the domains  $D'_1, ..., D'_n$

#### **Transformation rules**

- Not domain reduction rules
- $C' \neq \emptyset$
- $\mathcal{DE}'$  extends  $\mathcal{DE}$

#### **Examples: Domain Reduction Rules**

Linear Disequality

$$\frac{\langle x < y ; x \in [I_x ... h_x], y \in [I_y ... h_y] \rangle}{\langle x < y ; x \in [I_x ... h'_x], y \in [I'_y ... h_y] \rangle}$$

where  $h'_{x} = min(h_{x}, h_{y} - 1), l'_{y} = max(l_{y}, l_{x} + 1)$ 

Equality

$$\frac{\langle x = y; x \in D_x, y \in D_y \rangle}{\langle x = y; x \in D_x \cap D_y, y \in D_x \cap D_y \rangle}$$

Disequality

$$\frac{\langle x \neq y; x \in D, y = a \rangle}{\langle x \in D - \{a\}, y = a \rangle}$$

(domain expression y = a stands for  $y \in \{a\}$ )

Foundations of Constraint Programming

Complete Constraint Solvers

### **Examples:** Transformation Rules

Disequality Transformation

$$\frac{\langle s \neq t; \mathcal{D}\mathcal{E} \rangle}{\langle x \neq t, x = s; \mathcal{D}\mathcal{E}, x \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle}$$

where

- s is not a variable
- $\mathcal{DE}$  includes all variables present in *s* and *t*
- *x* does not appear in  $\mathcal{DE}$
- Variable Elimination

$$\frac{\langle C; \mathcal{D}\mathcal{E}, x=a \rangle}{\langle C\{x/a\}; \mathcal{D}\mathcal{E}, x=a \rangle}$$

where 
$$x$$
 occurs in  $C$ 

Foundations of Constraint Programming

# **Rule Applications**

- Application of a rule (informally): replace in a CSP the part that matches the premise by the conclusion
- Relevant application of a rule (informally): the result differs from the initial CSP
- A CSP  $\mathcal{P}$  is closed under the applications of R if
  - R cannot be applied to  $\mathcal{P}$ , or
  - no application of it to  ${\mathcal P}$  is relevant

### Recap: Solved and Failed CSP's

- A constraint is solved if it equals the Cartesian product of the domains of its variables
- CSP is solved if all its constraints are solved
- CSP is failed if
  - it contains the false constraint  $\perp$ , or
  - some of its domains or constraints is empty

# Derivations

Given: a finite set of proof rules

- Derivation: a sequence of CSP's s.t. each is obtained from the previous one by an application of a proof rule
- A finite derivation is called
  - successful: last element is first solved CSP in this derivation
  - failed: last element is first failed CSP in this derivation
  - stabilising: last element is first CSP closed under the applications of the proof rules

### **Derivation: Example**

Take

Equality

$$\frac{\langle x = y; x \in D_x, y \in D_y \rangle}{\langle x = y; x \in D_x \cap D_y, y \in D_x \cap D_y \rangle}$$

Disequality

$$\frac{\langle x \neq y; x \in D, y = a \rangle}{\langle x \in D - \{a\}, y = a \rangle}$$

and consider CSP  $\langle x = y, y \neq z, z \neq u; x \in \{a,b,c\}, y \in \{a,b,d\}, z \in \{a,b\}, u = b \rangle$ 

### Derivation: Example, ctd

 $\langle x = y, y \neq z, z \neq u; x \in \{a,b,c\}, y \in \{a,b,d\}, z \in \{a,b\}, u = b \rangle$ Apply Equality rule

 $\langle x = y, y \neq z, z \neq u; x \in \{a,b\}, y \in \{a,b\}, z \in \{a,b\}, u = b \rangle$ Apply Disequality rule to  $z \neq u$ 

 $\langle x = y, y \neq z; x \in \{a,b\}, y \in \{a,b\}, z = a, u = b \rangle$ 

Apply Disequality rule to  $y \neq z$ 

 $\langle x = y; x \in \{a,b\}, y = b, z = a, u = b \rangle$ 

Apply Equality rule

 $\langle x = y; x = b, y = b, z = a, u = b \rangle$ 

Last CSP is solved: the derivation is successful

Foundations of Constraint Programming

# **Term Equations**

#### Alphabet

- variables
- function symbols, each with a fixed arity
- parentheses: "(" and ")"
- comma: ","

#### Terms

- a variable is a term
- if *f* is an *n*-ary function symbol and  $t_1, ..., t$  are terms, then  $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$  is a term

# **Substitutions**

Finite mappings from variables to terms:

$$\{x_1/t_1, ..., x_n/t_n\}$$

where

- $x_1, ..., x_n$  are different variables
- $t_1$ , ...,  $t_n$  are terms
- for  $i \in [1..n]$ ,  $x_i \neq t_i$
- $\theta$  is more general than  $\tau$  if for some substitution  $\eta$

$$\tau = \theta \eta$$

### **Standard Unification**

- $\theta$  is a unifier of a set of term equations { $s_1 = t_1, ..., s_n = t_n$ } if  $s_i \theta \equiv t_i \theta$  for  $i \in [1..n]$
- $\theta$  is an mgu (most general unifier) of *E* if
  - $\theta$  is a unifier of E
  - $\theta$  is more general than all unifiers of E
- Two sets of equations are equivalent if they have the same set of unifiers

### Connection with CSP's

- Domains:  $\mathcal{T}$ , the set of all terms in the considered alphabet
- s = t with variables  $x_1, ..., x_n$  represents the constraint { $(x_1\eta, ..., x_n\eta) \mid \eta$  unifier of s and t}
- { $s_1 = t_1, ..., s_k = t_k$ } with variables  $x_1, ..., x_n$  represents  $\langle s_1 = t_1, ..., s_k = t_k; x_1 \in \mathcal{T}, ..., x_n \in \mathcal{T} \rangle$

Note:

 $\textit{Sol}(\langle E \ ; \ x_1 \in \mathcal{T}, \ ..., \ x_n \in \mathcal{T} \rangle) = \{(x_1\eta, \ ..., \ x_n\eta) \mid \eta \text{ unifier of } E\}$ 

### **Unif Proof System**

Decomposition

$$\frac{f(s_1,\ldots,s_n)=f(t_1,\ldots,t_n)}{s_1=t_1,\ldots,s_n=t_n}$$

Failure 1

$$\frac{f(s_1, \dots, s_n) = g(t_1, \dots, t_m)}{\bot} \quad \text{(where } f \neq g\text{)}$$
Deletion

$$x = x$$

Foundations of Constraint Programming

### Unif Proof System, ctd



### Martelli-Montanari Algorithm

Given:

۲

• CSP  $\mathcal{P} \coloneqq \langle C; \mathcal{DE} \rangle$ 



- $\langle C'; \mathcal{D}E' \rangle$  is the result of applying  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathcal{P}$
- This rule application of  $\mathcal{R}$  is called global

Martelli-Montanari Algorithm

- Unif proof rules
- All applications of the Substitution rule are global

# Linear Equations over Reals

#### Alphabet

- each real number is a constant
- for each real number *r* unary function symbol ' $r \cdot$ '
- binary function symbol '+' (written in infix notion)

#### Linear expressions and equations

- Linear expression over reals: a term in this alphabet
- Linear equation over reals:
   s = t
   where s, t linear expressions

### **Normal Forms**

Assume ordering < on the variables

• Linear expression in normal form:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i + r$$

where  $n \ge 0$  and  $x_1, ..., x_n$  are ordered w.r.t. <

• Linear equation in normal form:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = r$$

where  $n \ge 0$  and  $x_1, ..., x_n$  are ordered w.r.t. <

- Linear equation in pivot form:
   x = t
   if x ∉ Var(t) and t is in normal form
- Each linear equation can be rewritten (normalises) to a unique linear equation in normal form.

# **Substitutions**

- Substitution: finite mapping from variables to linear expressions in normal form To each variable x in its domain a linear expression different from x is assigned.
- Given: substitutions  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$ Composition  $\theta\gamma$  of  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$  uniquely determined by  $\eta(x) \coloneqq norm((x\theta)\gamma)$
- $\theta$  is a unifier of s = t if  $s\theta = t\theta$  normalises to 0 = 0

# **Pivot Forms**

Three types of normal forms:

- 0 = 0
- 0 = *r* where *r* is a non-zero real
- $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i = r$ , where n > 0

Pivot forms of linear equations

- Each linear equation *e* normalises to a normal form
- Linear equations with normal form 0 = 0 or 0 = r have no pivot form
- Otherwise each equation

$$\mathbf{x}_{j} = \sum_{i \in [1..j-1] \cup [j+1..n]} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{i}}{\mathbf{a}_{j}} \mathbf{x}_{i} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{a}_{j}}$$

is a pivot form of e

### Lin Proof System

#### Deletion

s = v

if s = v normalises to 0 = 0



 $\frac{s=v}{\perp}$ 

if s = v normalises to 0 = r and r non-zero real

Foundations of Constraint Programming

## Lin Proof System, ctd

- norm(s): normal form of s
- stand(s = t) := norm(s) = norm(t)

#### Substitution

$$\frac{s=v, E}{x=t, stand(E\{x/t\})}$$

where x = t is a pivot form of s = v

# **Gauss-Jordan Elimination**

- Lin proof rules
- All applications of the Substitution rule are global and condition  $x \in Var(E)$  holds

#### Theorem

Given: finite set of linear equations E

- Gauss-Jordan Elimination always terminates
- If *E* has a solution, then each execution of the algorithm terminates with a set of linear equations that determines an mgu of *E*.
   Otherwise each execution terminates with a set containing ⊥.

# **Gaussian Elimination**

#### Forward substitution phase:

Repeatedly take the leftmost equation that has not yet been considered

- Deletion applicable: delete the equation and consider the next equation
- Failure applicable: terminate with failure
- Substitution applicable: apply it taking as *E* the set of equations lying to the right of the current equation

#### Backward substitution phase:

Repeatedly take the rightmost equation that has not yet been considered Apply Substitution taking as E the set of equations to the left of the current equation.

## **Gaussian Elimination: Correctness**

#### Theorem

Given: finite set of linear equations *E* 

- Gaussian Elimination always terminates
- If *E* has a solution, then each execution of the algorithm terminates with a set of linear equations that determines an mgu of *E*.
   Otherwise each execution terminates with a set containing ⊥.

# Objectives

- Introduce a simple proof theoretic framework
- Use it to define complete solvers
- Show how the standard unification problem can be interpreted as CSP
- Discuss Gauss-Jordan Elimination and Gaussian Elimination algorithms for solving linear equations over reals