

Complexity Theory

Alternation

Daniel Borchmann, Markus Krötzsch

Computational Logic

2016-01-05



Extended New Year's Review: Lectures 15–19

Alternation

Alternating Computations

Non-deterministic TMs:

- ▶ Accept if **there is** an accepting run.
- ▶ Used to define classes like NP

Complements of non-deterministic classes:

- ▶ Accept if **all** runs are accepting.
- ▶ Used to define classes like coNP

We have seen that existential and universal modes can also **alternate**:

- ▶ Players take turns in games
- ▶ Quantifiers may alternate in QBF

Is there a suitable Turing Machine model to capture this?

Alternating Turing Machines

Definition 14.1

An **alternating Turing machine** (ATM) $\mathcal{M} = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0)$ is a Turing machine with a non-deterministic transition function

$\delta: Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}(Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\})$ whose set of states is partitioned into **existential** and **universal** states:

Q_{\exists} : set of existential states

Q_{\forall} : set of universal states

- ▶ Configurations of ATMs are the same as for (N)TMs:
tape(s) + state + head position
- ▶ A configuration can be **universal** or **existential**, depending on whether its state is universal or existential
- ▶ Possible transitions between configurations are defined as for NTMs

Alternating Turing Machines: Acceptance

Acceptance is defined recursively:

Definition 14.2

A configuration C of an ATM \mathcal{M} is **accepting** if one of the following is true:

- ▶ C is existential and some successor configuration of C is accepting.
- ▶ C is universal and all successor configurations of C are accepting.

\mathcal{M} accepts a word w if the start configuration on w is accepting.

Alternating Turing Machines: Acceptance

Acceptance is defined recursively:

Definition 14.2

A configuration C of an ATM \mathcal{M} is **accepting** if one of the following is true:

- ▶ C is existential and some successor configuration of C is accepting.
- ▶ C is universal and all successor configurations of C are accepting.

\mathcal{M} accepts a word w if the start configuration on w is accepting.

Note: configurations with no successor are the base case, since we have:

- ▶ An existential configuration without any successor configurations is rejecting.
- ▶ A universal configuration without any successor configurations is accepting.

Hence we don't need to specify accepting or rejecting states explicitly.

Nondeterminism and Parallelism

ATMs can be seen as a **generalisation of non-deterministic TMs**:

An NTM is an ATM where all states are existential (besides the single accepting state, which is always universal according to our definition).

Nondeterminism and Parallelism

ATMs can be seen as a **generalisation of non-deterministic TMs**:

An NTM is an ATM where all states are existential (besides the single accepting state, which is always universal according to our definition).

ATMs can be seen as a **model of parallel computation**:

In every step, **fork** the current process to create sub-processes that explore each possible transition in parallel

- ▶ for universal states, combine the results of sub-processes with AND
- ▶ for existential states, combine the results of sub-processes with OR

Alternative view: an ATM accepts if its computation tree, considered as an AND-OR tree, evaluates to TRUE

Example: Alternating Algorithm for MINFORMULA

MINFORMULA

Input: A propositional formula φ .

Problem: Is φ the shortest formula that is satisfied by the same assignments as φ ?

Example: Alternating Algorithm for MINFORMULA

MINFORMULA

Input: A propositional formula φ .

Problem: Is φ the shortest formula that is satisfied by the same assignments as φ ?

MINFORMULA can be solved by an alternating algorithm:

```
01 MINFORMULA(formula  $\varphi$ ) :  
02   universally choose  $\psi :=$  formula shorter than  $\varphi$   
03   exist. guess  $\mathcal{I} :=$  assignment for variables in  $\varphi$   
04   if  $\varphi^{\mathcal{I}} = \psi^{\mathcal{I}}$  :  
05     return FALSE  
06   else :  
07     return TRUE
```

Example: Alternating Algorithm for GEOGRAPHY

```
01 ALTGEOGRAPHY(directed graph  $G$ , start node  $s$ ) :
02    $Visited := \{s\}$  // visited nodes
03    $cur := s$  // current node
04   while TRUE :
05     // existential move:
06     if all successors of  $cur$  are in  $Visited$ :
07       return FALSE
08     existentially guess  $cur :=$  unvisited successor of  $cur$ 
09      $Visited := Visited \cup \{cur\}$ 
10     // universal move:
11     if all successors of  $cur$  are in  $Visited$ :
12       return TRUE
13     universally choose  $cur :=$  unvisited successor of  $cur$ 
14      $Visited := Visited \cup \{cur\}$ 
```

Time and Space Bounded ATMs

As before, time and space bounds apply to any computation path in the computation tree.

Definition 14.3

Let \mathcal{M} be an alternating Turing machine and let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function.

- ▶ \mathcal{M} is **f -time bounded** if it halts on every input $w \in \Sigma^*$ and **on every computation path** after $\leq f(|w|)$ steps.
- ▶ \mathcal{M} is **f -space bounded** if it halts on every input $w \in \Sigma^*$ and **on every computation path** using $\leq f(|w|)$ cells on its tapes.

(Here we typically assume that Turing machines have a separate input tape that we do not count in measuring space complexity.)

Defining Alternating Complexity Classes

Definition 14.4

Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function.

- ▶ $\text{ATIME}(f(n))$ is the class of all languages \mathcal{L} for which there is an $O(f(n))$ -time bounded alternating Turing machine deciding \mathcal{L} , for some $k \geq 1$.
- ▶ $\text{ASPACE}(f(n))$ is the class of all languages \mathcal{L} for which there is an $O(f(n))$ -space bounded alternating Turing machine deciding \mathcal{L} .

Common Alternating Complexity Classes

$AP = APTIME = \bigcup_{d \geq 1} ATIME(n^d)$ alternating polynomial time

$AEXP = AEXPTIME = \bigcup_{d \geq 1} ATIME(2^{n^d})$ alternating exponential time

$A2EXP = A2EXPTIME = \bigcup_{d \geq 1} ATIME(2^{2^{n^d}})$ alt. double-exponential time

$AL = ALOGSPACE = ASPACE(\log n)$ alternating logarithmic space

$APSPACE = \bigcup_{d \geq 1} ASPACE(n^d)$ alternating polynomial space

$AEXPSPACE = \bigcup_{d \geq 1} ASPACE(2^{n^d})$ alternating exponential space

Example: $\text{GEOGRAPHY} \in APTIME$

Alternating Complexity Classes: Basic Properties

Nondeterminism: ATMs can do everything that the corresponding NTMs can do, e.g., $NP \subseteq APTIME$

Alternating Complexity Classes: Basic Properties

Nondeterminism: ATMs can do everything that the corresponding NTMs can do, e.g., $NP \subseteq APTIME$

Reductions: Polynomial many-one reductions can be used to show membership in many alternating complexity classes, e.g., if $\mathcal{L} \in APTIME$ and $\mathcal{L}' \leq_p \mathcal{L}$ then $\mathcal{L}' \in APTIME$.

Alternating Complexity Classes: Basic Properties

Nondeterminism: ATMs can do everything that the corresponding NTMs can do, e.g., $NP \subseteq APTIME$

Reductions: Polynomial many-one reductions can be used to show membership in many alternating complexity classes, e.g., if $\mathcal{L} \in APTIME$ and $\mathcal{L}' \leq_p \mathcal{L}$ then $\mathcal{L}' \in APTIME$.

In particular: $PSPACE \subseteq APTIME$ (since $GEOGRAPHY \in APTIME$)

Alternating Complexity Classes: Basic Properties

Nondeterminism: ATMs can do everything that the corresponding NTMs can do, e.g., $NP \subseteq APTIME$

Reductions: Polynomial many-one reductions can be used to show membership in many alternating complexity classes, e.g., if $\mathcal{L} \in APTIME$ and $\mathcal{L}' \leq_p \mathcal{L}$ then $\mathcal{L}' \in APTIME$.

In particular: $PSPACE \subseteq APTIME$ (since $GEOGRAPHY \in APTIME$)

Complementation: ATMs are easily complemented:

- ▶ Let \mathcal{M} be an ATM accepting language $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})$
- ▶ Let \mathcal{M}' be obtained from \mathcal{M} by swapping existential and universal states
- ▶ Then $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}') = \overline{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})}$

For alternating algorithms this means: (1) negate all return values, (2) swap universal and existential branching points

Example: Complement of MINFORMULA

Original algorithm:

```
01 MINFORMULA(formula  $\varphi$ ) :  
02   universally choose  $\psi :=$  formula shorter than  $\varphi$   
03   exist. guess  $\mathcal{I} :=$  assignment for variables in  $\varphi$   
04   if  $\varphi^{\mathcal{I}} = \psi^{\mathcal{I}}$  :  
05     return FALSE  
06   else :  
07     return TRUE
```

Complemented algorithm:

```
01 COMPLMINFORMULA(formula  $\varphi$ ) :  
02   existentially guess  $\psi :=$  formula shorter than  $\varphi$   
03   univ. choose  $\mathcal{I} :=$  assignment for variables in  $\varphi$   
04   if  $\varphi^{\mathcal{I}} = \psi^{\mathcal{I}}$  :  
05     return TRUE  
06   else :  
07     return FALSE
```