Rewriting the Description Logic ALCHIQ to Disjunctive **Existential Rules**

DAVID CARRAL AND MARKUS KRÖTZSCH

KNOWLEDGE-BASED SYSTEMS GROUP



SEPTEMBER 14, 2020

CHECK OUT THE CHAT FOR A LINK TO DOWNLOAD THE SLIDES!

REWRITINGS

Definition

Consider fragments \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' of FOL. An \mathcal{L}' -theory \mathcal{T}' is a *rewriting* of an \mathcal{L} -theory \mathcal{T} if, for all fact sets \mathcal{F} over the signature of \mathcal{T} , we have that $\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{T}' \cup \mathcal{F}$ are equisatisfiable. If we can always compute such a rewriting, \mathcal{L} is *rewritable* to \mathcal{L}' .

Motivation

- Theoretical: understand the expressivity of FOL fragments.
- Practical: reuse existing reasoners across FOL fragments.
 - Assume that \mathcal{L} is rewritable to \mathcal{L}' .
 - Consider $\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{F}$ with \mathcal{T} an \mathcal{L} -theory and \mathcal{F} a fact set.
 - Compute an equisatisfiable theory $\mathcal{T}' \cup \mathcal{F}$ with $\mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{L}'$.
 - Use an \mathcal{L}' -reasoner to decide if $\mathcal{T}' \cup \mathcal{F}$ is satisfiable.
 - The result determines whether $\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{F}$ is satisfiable.

REWRITINGS

Definition

Consider fragments \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' of FOL. An \mathcal{L}' -theory \mathcal{T}' is a *rewriting* of an \mathcal{L} -theory \mathcal{T} if, for all fact sets \mathcal{F} over the signature of \mathcal{T} , we have that $\mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{T}' \cup \mathcal{F}$ are equisatisfiable. If we can always compute such a rewriting, \mathcal{L} is *rewritable* to \mathcal{L}' .

Motivation

- Theoretical: understand the expressivity of FOL fragments.
- Practical: reuse existing reasoners across FOL fragments.

Contribution

Establish that \mathcal{ALCHIQ} is rewritable into rule-based languages.

The DL \mathcal{ALCHIQ} : Syntax and Semantics

Definition: ALCHIQ

$A \sqcap B \sqsubseteq C$	$A(x) \wedge B(x) ightarrow C(x)$
$A \sqsubseteq B \sqcup C$	$A(x) ightarrow B(x) \lor C(x)$
$A \sqsubseteq \forall R.B$	$A(x) \wedge R(x,y) ightarrow B(y)$
$A \sqsubseteq \exists R.B$	$A(x) ightarrow \exists y. R(x,y) \land B(y)$
$A \sqsubseteq \leq 1 R.B$	$A(x) \wedge R(x,y) \wedge B(y) \wedge R(x,z) \wedge B(z) \rightarrow y \approx z$
$R \sqcap S \sqsubseteq V$	$R(x,y) \wedge S(x,y) \rightarrow V(x,y)$
$R \sqsubseteq S \sqcup V$	$R(x,y) ightarrow S(x,y) \lor V(x,y)$
$R^- \sqsubseteq S$	R(y,x) ightarrow S(x,y)

In the above, A, B, and C are unary predicates (i.e., concept names) and R, S, and V are binary predicates (i.e., role names)

Datalog $^{\vee\exists}$: Syntax and Semantics

Definition

A disjunctive existential rule is a FOL formula of the form

$$\forall \vec{x}. \left(\beta[\vec{x}] \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \exists \vec{y}_{i}. \eta_{i}[\vec{x}_{i}, \vec{y}_{i}]\right).$$

where $\beta[\vec{x}]$ and $\eta_i[\vec{x}_i, \vec{y}_i]$ are atom conjunctions using variables in the lists $\vec{x}_{(i)}$ and \vec{y}_i , such that $\vec{x}_i \subseteq \vec{x}$ and $\vec{x} \cap \vec{y}_i = \emptyset$ for all $1 \le i \le n$.

Definition

- Datalog^{∨∃}: all sets of disjunctive existential rules.
- **Datalog**^{\exists}: Datalog^{\lor \exists} without disjunction.
- *Datalog*[∨]: Datalog^{∨∃} without existential quantifiers.
- *Datalog*: Datalog[∨] without disjunctions.

REWRITINGS OF DL-TYPE LOGICS TO RULE LANGUAGES

[Hustadt et al., 2007]	ALCHIQ	Datalog∨	exp. †
[Eiter et al., 2012]	Horn-SHIQ	Datalog	exp. †
[Rudolph et al., 2012]	SHIQbs	$Datalog^{\lor}$	exp. †
[Bienvenu et al., 2014]	SHI	$Datalog^{\lor}$	exp. †
[Carral et al., 2018]	Horn- $ALCHOIQ$	Datalog	exp. †
[Carral et al., 2019b]	Horn- \mathcal{SHIQ}	Datalog	exp. †
[Ortiz et al., 2010]	Horn-ALCHOIQ	Datalog	poly.

- , -		-	0	
[Ahmetaj et al., 2016]	ALCHIO		Datalog [∨]	poly.
[Krötzsch, 2011]	\mathcal{EL}^{++}		Datalog	poly.†
[Carral et al., 2019a]	Horn- \mathcal{ALC}		Datalog∃	poly.†
†: rules of bounded size that does not depend on input				

Remark

All rewriting techniques for expressive DLs produce rule sets of exponential size or rules of unbounded arity.

RESULTS

Theorem 1

ALCHIQ is poly-time rewritable into terminating Datalog^{V∃} rules **of bounded size**.

Definition: Terminating Datalog^{∨∃}

Language of all sets \mathcal{R} of disjunctive existential rules that terminate with respect to the Datalog-first restricted chase.

Theorem 2

 \mathcal{ALCHIQ} is poly-time rewritable to Datalog $^{\vee}$ rules (of unbounded size).

Simplified Theorem

 \mathcal{ALC} is poly-time rewritable into terminating Datalog^{\vee\exists} rules of bounded size.

Definition: \mathcal{ALC}

$A \sqcap B \sqsubseteq C$	$A(x) \wedge B(x) ightarrow C(x)$
$A \sqsubseteq B \sqcup C$	$A(x) ightarrow B(x) \lor C(x)$
$A \sqsubseteq \forall R.B$	$A(x) \wedge R(x,y) ightarrow B(y)$
$A \sqsubseteq \exists R.B$	$A(x) ightarrow \exists y. R(x, y) \land B(y)$

In the above, A, B, and C are unary predicates (i.e., concept names) and R is a binary predicate (i.e., role name)

Rewriting \mathcal{ALC} into Datalog^{\lor ∃}

Definition: ALC Rewritings

Consider a theory \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{ALC} axioms and a sequence A_1, \ldots, A_n containing all of the classes in \mathcal{T} . Then, the following set of Datalog^{\vee ∃} rules is a terminating rewriting for \mathcal{T} :

$$\begin{split} & \{A(x) \land B(x) \to C(x) \mid A \sqcap B \sqsubseteq C \in \mathcal{T}\} \cup \{A(x) \to B(x) \lor C(x) \mid A \sqsubseteq B \sqcup C \in \mathcal{T}\} \cup \\ & \{A(x) \land R(x,y) \to B(y) \mid A \sqsubseteq \forall R.B \in \mathcal{T}\} \cup \\ & \{A(x) \to \exists y.R(x,y) \land B(y) \land Succ(x,y) \mid A \sqsubseteq \exists R.B \in \mathcal{T}\} \cup \\ & \{ \to A(x) \lor A^{\neg}(x), A(x) \land A^{\neg}(x) \to \bot \mid A \in \textbf{Classes}(\mathcal{T})\} \cup \\ & \{ \to A(x) \land A^{\neg}(x), A(x) \land A^{\neg}(x) \to \bot \mid A \in \textbf{Classes}(\mathcal{T})\} \cup \\ & \{A_1(x) \land A_1(z) \to SameClasses_1(x,z), A_1^{\neg}(x) \land A_1^{\neg}(z) \to SameClasses_1(x,z)\} \cup \\ & \{SameClasses_{i-1}(x,z) \land A_i^{\neg}(x) \land A_i^{\neg}(z) \to SameClasses_i(x,z), \\ & SameClasses_{i-1}(x,z) \land A_i^{\neg}(x) \land A_i^{\neg}(z) \to SameClasses_i(x,z) \mid 2 \le i \le n\} \cup \\ & \{SameClasses_n(x,y) \to SameType(x,y)\} \cup \end{split}$$

 $\{SameType(x,z) \land Succ(x,y) \land R(x,y) \rightarrow Succ(z,y) \land R(z,y) \mid R \in \mathbf{Roles}(\mathcal{T})\}$

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

LINK TO THE PAPER: iccl.inf.tu-dresden.de/web/Inproceedings3244/en

REFERENCES I

AHMETAJ, S., ORTIZ, M., AND SIMKUS, M. (2016). **POLYNOMIAL DATALOG REWRITINGS FOR EXPRESSIVE DESCRIPTION LOGICS WITH CLOSED PREDICATES.**

In Kambhampati, S., editor, *Proc. 25th Int. Joint Conf. on Artif. Intell.* (*IJCAI 2016*), pages 878–885. IJCAI/AAAI Press.

 BIENVENU, M., TEN CATE, B., LUTZ, C., AND WOLTER, F. (2014).
 ONTOLOGY-BASED DATA ACCESS: A STUDY THROUGH DISJUNCTIVE DATALOG, CSP, AND MMSNP.

ACM Transactions of Database Systems, 39(4):33:1–33:44.

 CARRAL, D., DRAGOSTE, I., AND KRÖTZSCH, M. (2018).
 THE COMBINED APPROACH TO QUERY ANSWERING IN HORN-ALCHOIQ. In Thielscher, M., Toni, F., and Wolter, F., editors, Proc. 16th Int. Conf. on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (KR 2018), pages 339–348. AAAI Press.

REFERENCES II

 CARRAL, D., DRAGOSTE, I., KRÖTZSCH, M., AND LEWE, C. (2019A).
 CHASING SETS: HOW TO USE EXISTENTIAL RULES FOR EXPRESSIVE REASONING.

In Kraus, S., editor, Proc. 28th Int. Joint Conf. on Artif. Intell. (IJCAI 2019), pages 1624–1631. ijcai.org.

 CARRAL, D., GONZÁLEZ, L., AND KOOPMANN, P. (2019B).
 FROM HORN-SRIQ TO DATALOG: A DATA-INDEPENDENT TRANSFORMATION THAT PRESERVES ASSERTION ENTAILMENT.
 In Proc. 33rd AAAI Conf. on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI 2019), pages 2736–2743. AAAI Press.

EITER, T., ORTIZ, M., SIMKUS, M., TRAN, T.-K., AND XIAO, G. (2012). **QUERY REWRITING FOR HORN-**SHIQ **PLUS RULES.** In Hoffmann, J. and Selman, B., editors, *Proc. 26th AAAI Conf. on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI 2012).* AAAI Press.

References III

HUSTADT, U., MOTIK, B., AND SATTLER, U. (2007). **Reasoning in Description Logics by a reduction to disjunctive Datalog.**

J. Automated Reasoning, 39(3):351–384.

📔 К К Ö Т Z S C H, M. (2011).

EFFICIENT RULE-BASED INFERENCING FOR OWL EL. In Walsh, T., editor, *Proc. 22nd Int. Joint Conf. on Artif. Intell.* (IJCAI 2011), pages 2668–2673. IJCAI/AAAI.

 ORTIZ, M., RUDOLPH, S., AND SIMKUS, M. (2010).
 WORST-CASE OPTIMAL REASONING FOR THE HORN-DL FRAGMENTS OF OWL 1 AND 2.

In Lin, F., Sattler, U., and Truszczynski, M., editors, Proc. 12th Int. Conf. on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (KR 2010). AAAI Press.

References IV



RUDOLPH, S., KRÖTZSCH, M., AND HITZLER, P. (2012). **Type-elimination-based reasoning for the Description Logic** $SHIQB_{s}$ **using decision diagrams and disjunctive Datalog.** Logical Methods in Computer Science, 8(1).