

EXERCISE 1

# Science of Computational Logic

Steffen Hölldobler, Tobias Philipp  
International Masters Programme in Computational Logic — winter semester 2015/2016

---

---

## Problem 1.1

In the lectures the following example from Description Logics was presented:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{K}_T : & \begin{array}{l} \text{woman} \sqsubseteq \text{person}, \\ \text{man} \sqsubseteq \text{person}, \\ \text{mother} = \text{woman} \sqcap \exists \text{child} : \text{person}, \\ \text{father} = \text{man} \sqcap \exists \text{child} : \text{person}, \\ \text{parent} = \text{mother} \sqcup \text{father}, \\ \text{grandparent} = \text{parent} \sqcap \exists \text{child} : \text{parent}, \\ \text{father\_without\_son} = \text{father} \sqcap \forall \text{child} : \neg \text{man} \end{array} & \mathcal{K}_A : \begin{array}{l} \text{parent}(\text{carl}), \text{parent}(\text{conny}), \\ \text{child}(\text{conny}, \text{joe}), \text{child}(\text{conny}, \text{carl}), \\ \text{man}(\text{joe}), \text{man}(\text{carl}), \text{woman}(\text{conny}). \end{array} \end{array}$$

Are the following consequences valid? **Justify** your answers.

1.  $\mathcal{K}_T \cup \mathcal{K}_A \models \text{grandparent}(\text{conny})$
2.  $\mathcal{K}_T \cup \mathcal{K}_A \models \text{father}(\text{carl})$
3.  $\mathcal{K}_T \cup \mathcal{K}_A \models \text{father\_without\_son}(\text{carl})$

## Problem 1.2

Prove that  $F \sqsubseteq G \equiv F \sqcap \neg G = \perp$

## Problem 1.3

Show that  $\text{grandparent} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{K}_T} \text{parent}$  by reducing subsumption into concept satisfiability, where  $\mathcal{K}_T$  is the T-Box from Problem 1.1.

## Problem 1.4

Is the concept  $(\text{father} \sqcap \text{mother})$  satisfiable w.r.t.  $\mathcal{K}_T$  from Problem 1.1?

## Problem 1.5

1. Which generalized concept axioms must be added to prevent that a person is female and male?

2. Is there a single generalized concept axiom that prevents that a person is female and male?

## Problem 1.6

Give an equivalent concept of  $(\text{woman} \sqcap \exists \text{child}.\text{person})$  without using the constructors  $\sqcap$  and  $\exists r.C$

## Problem 1.7

Prove the following:

If  $(\forall r.C)(a) \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $r(a, b) \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \models C(b)$ .

## Problem 1.8

Prove the following:

If  $(\exists r.C)(a) \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is satisfiable, and  $b$  is a Skolem constant, then  $\mathcal{A} \cup \{r(a, b), C(b)\}$  is satisfiable as well.

## Problem 1.9

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an ABox. Proof or refute the following claims:

1. If  $\mathcal{A}$  contains only elements of the form  $r(a, b)$  where  $r$  is a role name and  $a, b$  are individual names, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is satisfiable.
2. If  $\mathcal{A}$  contains only elements of the form  $A(x)$  where  $A$  is a concept name and  $a$  is an individual name, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is satisfiable.
3. If  $\mathcal{A}$  contains only elements of the form  $A(x)$  or  $\neg A(x)$ , where  $A$  is an atomic concept name and  $x$  an individual name, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is satisfiable.