

In the following exercises we will try to show that the satisfiability problem for  $\text{FO}^2$  is  $\text{NEXPTIME}$ -hard. To do it, we will provide a reduction from the following tiling problem: given  $(T, H, V, I, n)$  with  $T$  being a set of tiles,  $H \subset T \times T$  and  $V \subset T \times T$  being, respectively, sets of horizontal and vertical tiling constants (i.e. specifying that a given can be placed above/to the right of another tile),  $n$  being a number encoded in unary and  $I \in T$  being an initial time, we ask: is there a correctly tiled grid of size  $2^n \times 2^n$  with the position  $(0, 0)$  labelled with  $I$ ?

#### Exercise 1

Use fresh unary predicates  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n$  and  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n$  and treat them as bits of some number encoded in binary, e.g. for  $n = 3$  a domain element satisfies  $\neg V_3 \wedge V_2 \wedge V_1$  if the predicates  $V$  encode the number 3. Write a formula, of size polynomial in  $n$  and with two free variables  $x$  and  $y$ , stating that  $y = x + 1$ , i.e. the value encoded by  $y$  is equal to the value encoded on  $x$  plus one. How it helps you to solve the problem?

#### Exercise 2

Do the routine part of the encoding. Can you prove hardness without use of equality?

#### Exercise 3

We know that constant-free  $\text{FO}^2$  has FMP and is decidable in  $\text{NEXPTIME}$ . Show that the same result holds for  $\text{FO}^2$  with constants [EASY!].

#### Exercise 4

During the lecture, in the proof of FMP for  $\text{FO}^2$ , we presented a construction that creates three sets:  $C, D$  and  $E$ . Why just two sets are not enough?

#### Exercise 5

During the lecture we employed the Scott-like normal form for  $\text{FO}^2$ , namely:  $\forall x \forall y \varphi \wedge \bigwedge_i \forall x \exists y \varphi_i(x, y)$ , where  $\varphi, \varphi_i$  are quantifier-free. Show that one can do an extra step to ensure that your formula looks as follows:

$$\forall x \forall y \varphi \wedge \bigwedge_i \forall x \exists y x \neq y \wedge \varphi_i(x, y)$$

#### Exercise 6

[Hard, you must solve exercise 1 first.] We now know that if  $\text{FO}^2$  formula has a model then it has a model of exponential size. Show that it is not true for  $\text{FO}^2$  extended by  $\exists^=1$  counting quantifiers. More precisely, show that there is a formula of size  $O(n)$  whose models are of size at least  $O(2^{2^n})$ . Hint: How many leaves a binary tree of height  $n$  has?