

Exercise Sheet 12: Dependencies

Jonas Karge, Sebastian Rudolph
Database Theory, 20th July 2021, Summer Term 2021

Exercise 12.1. Let \mathcal{L} be a fragment of first-order logic for which finite model entailment and arbitrary model entailment coincide, i.e., for every \mathcal{L} -theory \mathcal{T} and every \mathcal{L} -formula φ , we find that φ is true in all models of \mathcal{T} if and only if φ is true in all finite models of \mathcal{T} .

- (a) Give an example for a proper fragment of first-order logic with this property.
- (b) Give an example for a proper fragment of first-order logic without this property.
- (c) Show that entailment is decidable in any fragment with this property.

Exercise 12.2. Consider the following set of tgds Σ :

$$\begin{aligned}A(x) &\rightarrow \exists y. R(x, y) \wedge B(y) \\B(x) &\rightarrow \exists y. S(x, y) \wedge A(y) \\R(x, y) &\rightarrow S(y, x) \\S(x, y) &\rightarrow R(y, x)\end{aligned}$$

Does the oblivious chase universally terminate for Σ ? What about the restricted chase?

Exercise 12.3. Is the following set of tgds Σ weakly acyclic?

$$\begin{aligned}B(x) &\rightarrow \exists y. S(x, y) \wedge A(x) \\A(x) \wedge C(x) &\rightarrow \exists y. R(x, y) \wedge B(y)\end{aligned}$$

Does the skolem chase universally terminate for Σ ?

Exercise 12.4. Termination of the oblivious (resp. restricted) chase over a set of tgds Σ implies the existence of a finite universal model for Σ . Is the converse true? That is, does the existence of a finite universal model for Σ imply termination of the oblivious (resp. restricted) chase?