

1. A Primer in Programming Language Semantics

Lecture on Models of Concurrent Systems

(Summer 2022)

Stephan Mennicke

Apr 5, 2022

What is Computation?

P: `x := 1;`
 `x := x + 1;`

Q: `x := 2;`

- What does **P** compute? What does **Q** compute? Are **P** and **Q** **equivalent**?
- What is needed to argue for this, formally?
- How to overcome the underspecification of the questions above?

Organization

Sessions in Presence

Tuesday, DS3 (11:10–12:40), APB E005

Wednesday, DS3 (11:10–12:40), APB E005

Exercises

In the spirit of this course: **interleaved** with the lectures.

Web Page

[https://iccl.inf.tu-dresden.de/web/Concurrency_Theory_\(SS2022\)](https://iccl.inf.tu-dresden.de/web/Concurrency_Theory_(SS2022))

Lecture Notes

Slides of current lecture will be Online.

Goals and Prerequisites

Learning Goals

- Semantics of concurrent programming languages
 - What is a process?
 - When are two processes equivalent?
- Advanced features of concurrent processes
- The coinductive proof method

Prerequisites

- No particular prior course needed
- Semantics of programming languages helpful
- General mathematical and theoretical computer science skills necessary

What is Computation?

P: $x := 1;$
 $x := x + 1;$

Q: $x := 2;$

- What does **P** compute? What does **Q** compute? Are **P** and **Q** **equivalent**?
- What is needed to argue for this, formally?
 - ~> Semantics of Programming Languages
- How to overcome the underspecification of the questions above?

LOOP-Programme: Syntax

Definition: Die Programmiersprache **LOOP** basiert auf einer unendlichen Menge \mathbf{V} von Variablen und der Menge \mathbb{N} der natürlichen Zahlen. **LOOP-Programme** sind induktiv definiert:

- Die Ausdrücke

$x := y + n$ und $x := y - n$ (**Wertzuweisung**)

sind LOOP-Programme für alle $x, y \in \mathbf{V}$ und $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- Wenn P_1 und P_2 LOOP-Programme sind, dann ist

$P_1 ; P_2$ (**Hintereinanderausführung**)

ein LOOP-Programm.

- Wenn P ein LOOP-Programm ist, dann ist

LOOP x DO P END (**Schleife**)

ein LOOP-Programm, für jede Variable $x \in \mathbf{V}$.

Vereinfachung: Wir erlauben $;$ in Programmen durch Zeilenumbrüche zu ersetzen

WHILE Programs

Definition 1.1: The language **WHILE** is based on a universe \mathcal{V} of variables, which are assigned values from the set of integers \mathbb{Z} . A **WHILE** program is an expression derived from the following grammar:

$$P ::= x := a \mid P;P \mid \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } P \text{ ELSE } P \text{ END} \mid \text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } P \text{ END}$$

where $x \in \mathcal{V}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and a are arithmetic expression of the form

$$a ::= x \mid n \mid a + a \mid a - a \mid a * a$$

and b are Boolean expression of the form

$$b ::= \text{true} \mid a = a \mid a \neq a \mid a \leq a \mid \text{not } b \mid b \text{ and } b$$

State Functions

We call a function $s : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ a state function.

Arithmetic and Boolean expressions are evaluated over state functions. Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} the set of all arithmetic and Boolean expressions as defined before. A state function changes in the course of evaluating assignments of **WHILE** programs.

Define **semantic functions** $\mathcal{A}[\cdot] : \mathbf{A} \times (\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{B}[\cdot] : \mathbf{B} \times (\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{2}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{x}]s &= s(x) & \mathcal{A}[n]s &= n \\ \mathcal{A}[a_1 + a_2]s &= \mathcal{A}[a_1]s + \mathcal{A}[a_2]s & \mathcal{A}[a_1 - a_2]s &= \mathcal{A}[a_1]s - \mathcal{A}[a_2]s \\ \mathcal{A}[a_1 * a_2]s &= \mathcal{A}[a_1]s \cdot \mathcal{A}[a_2]s \end{aligned}$$

We assume no association or distributivity for the arithmetic operators. We would use brackets to make the order of evaluation explicit.

Semantic Functions (cont'd)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}[\text{true}]s &= \top & \mathcal{B}[\text{not } b_1]s &= \neg\mathcal{B}[b_1]s \\ \mathcal{B}[b_1 \text{ and } b_2]s &= \mathcal{B}[b_1]s \wedge \mathcal{B}[b_2]s & \mathcal{B}[b_1 \text{ or } b_2]s &= \mathcal{B}[b_1]s \vee \mathcal{B}[b_2]s\end{aligned}$$

We would derive further Boolean operators as well as the keyword `false` as usual.

To determine the semantics of the other operators, we apply $\mathcal{A}[\cdot]$ to the operands.

$$\mathcal{B}[a_1 = a_2]s = \begin{cases} \top & \text{if } \mathcal{A}[a_1]s = \mathcal{A}[a_2]s \\ \perp & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{B}[a_1 \neq a_2]s = \begin{cases} \top & \text{if } \mathcal{A}[a_1]s \neq \mathcal{A}[a_2]s \\ \perp & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In other words, $\mathcal{B}[a_1 \neq a_2]s = \mathcal{B}[\text{not } (a_1 = a_2)]s$. Other comparison operators (like `<=` for `<=`) are implemented similarly.

Semantics of WHILE Programs

In **structural operational semantics**, we define transition rules between the **configurations** of a program according to the structure (syntax) of it.

A **WHILE configuration** is a pair $\langle P, s \rangle$ where $P \in \mathbf{WHILE}$ and s is a state function. Furthermore, a state function s is a configuration, called a **terminal configuration**. We start a program P in an initial configuration $\langle P, s_0 \rangle$ with some state function s_0 (e. g., $s_0(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}$). We will make use of a special **WHILE** statement: **skip** which just performs a step without changing the state function (e. g., **skip** = $\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{x}$).

The first rule performs the assignment $x := a$, changing the value of x to the value of $\mathcal{A}[[a]]s$:

$$\text{(ASS)} \frac{}{\langle \mathbf{x} := a, s \rangle \Rightarrow s[\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathcal{A}[[a]]s]}$$

Note, rule (ASS) has an empty hypothesis, meaning that the rule performs unconditionally.

Semantics of WHILE Programs (cont'd)

The next two rules handle the cases for sequential composition $P_1;P_2$. Either P_1 terminates and P_2 takes up its state function, or P_1 computes and intermediate step.

$$\text{(SEQ1)} \frac{\langle P_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow s'}{\langle P_1; P_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle P_2, s' \rangle}$$

$$\text{(SEQ2)} \frac{\langle P_1, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle P'_1, s' \rangle}{\langle P_1; P_2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle P'_1; P_2, s' \rangle}$$

For branching we implement a case distinction, depending on whether the Boolean expression evaluates to \top (true) or \perp (false).

$$\text{(THEN)} \frac{}{\langle \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } P_1 \text{ ELSE } P_2 \text{ END}, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle P_1, s \rangle} \text{ if } \mathcal{B}[[b]]_s = \top$$

$$\text{(ELSE)} \frac{}{\langle \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } P_1 \text{ ELSE } P_2 \text{ END}, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle P_2, s \rangle} \text{ if } \mathcal{B}[[b]]_s = \perp$$

Semantics of WHILE Programs (FINISH)

For a **while-loop** we can build on the constructs we already have:

$$\text{(WHILE)} \frac{}{\langle \text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } P \text{ END}, s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \text{IF } b \text{ THEN } P; \text{ WHILE } b \text{ DO } P \text{ END ELSE skip END}, s \rangle}$$

The semantics of a **WHILE** program P is defined as

$$\mathcal{S}[[P]]_s := \begin{cases} s' & \text{if } \langle P, s \rangle \Rightarrow^* s' \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{S}[[\cdot]] : \mathbf{WHILE} \times (\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$ is (expectedly) a partial function.

What is Computation?

P: $x := 1;$
 $x := x + 1;$

Q: $x := 2;$

- What does **P** compute? What does **Q** compute? Are **P** and **Q** **equivalent**?
 $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{S}[\mathbf{P}]s = s[x \mapsto 2]$ and $\mathcal{S}[\mathbf{Q}]s = s[x \mapsto 2]$
 \rightsquigarrow **P** and **Q** are equivalent under $\mathcal{S}[\cdot]$.
- What is needed to argue for this, formally?
 \rightsquigarrow Semantics of Programming Languages
- How to overcome the underspecification of the questions above?
 \rightsquigarrow For all **variable valuations** $s : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, does $\mathcal{S}[\mathbf{P}]s = \mathcal{S}[\mathbf{Q}]s$ hold? **or**
 For all contexts $C[\cdot]$, are $C[\mathbf{P}]$ and $C[\mathbf{Q}]$ equivalent in the above-mentioned sense?
- Class over? **But title mentions the word concurrent!**
 \rightsquigarrow What about languages with explicit parallel operator, as in $P_1 \mid P_2$?