## Concurrency Theory

## Exercise Sheet 1: Bisimulation and Bisimilarity <br> $17^{\text {th }}$ of April 2024

Before we get into this exercise session, we want to introduce a notation that will also be present in the respective lecture slides. As a convention, and we sometimes already did, we write binary relations like $\xrightarrow{a} \subseteq \operatorname{Pr} \times \operatorname{Pr}(a \in$ Act $)$ in infix notation: $P \xrightarrow{a} Q$ instead of $(P, a, Q) \in \rightarrow$. We use the same convention for bisimulations: write $P \mathcal{R} Q$ instead of $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{R}$.

Exercise 1.1. Find an LTS with only two states that is bisimilar to the following LTS:

c
Exercise 1.2. Show that $R_{1}$ and $Q_{1}$ are bisimilar.


Exercise 1.3. Consider the following change of clauses 1 and 2 in the definition of bisimulation, and consequently bisimilarity:

- for all $P^{\prime}$ with $P \xrightarrow[\mu]{\mu} P^{\prime}$, and for all $Q^{\prime}$ such that $Q \xrightarrow{\mu} Q^{\prime}$, we have $P^{\prime} \mathcal{R} Q^{\prime}$;
- for all $Q^{\prime}$ with $Q \xrightarrow{\mu} Q^{\prime}$, and for all $P^{\prime}$ such that $P \xrightarrow{\mu} P^{\prime}$, we have $P^{\prime} \mathcal{R} Q^{\prime}$.

What would be the effect on bisimilarity?
Exercise 1.4. Let $T$ be an LTS. Prove or disprove that bisimulations (on $T$ ) are closed under
(a) union;
(b) intersection;
(c) relation concatenation.

Complete the proof of Theorem 7 ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ lecture, slide 24).
Exercise 1.5. A process relation $\mathcal{R}$ is a simulation if, whenever $P \mathcal{R} Q$, for all $P^{\prime}$ and $\mu$ with $P \xrightarrow{\mu}$ $P^{\prime}$, there is a $Q^{\prime}$ such that $Q \xrightarrow{\mu} Q^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime} \mathcal{R} Q^{\prime}$. Similarity, written $\lesssim$, is the union of all simulations; $Q$ simulates $P$ if $P \lesssim Q$. $P$ and $Q$ are simulation equivalent, denoted by $P \approx Q$, if $P \lesssim Q$ and $Q \lesssim P$. Show that
(a) $\mathcal{R}$ is a bisimulation if, and only if, $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ are simulations.
(b) If $P$ is a process without a transition, then $P \lesssim Q$ for all processes $Q$.
(c) $\lesssim$ is reflexive and transitive.
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(d) $\Leftrightarrow$ is strictly included in $\approx$.
$(\mathrm{e}) \approx$ is strictly included in trace equivalence.
Exercise 1.6. A process relation $\mathcal{R}$ is a bisimulation $u p-t o \Leftrightarrow$ if, whenever $P \Leftrightarrow Q$, for all $\mu$ we have
(a) for all $P^{\prime}$ with $P \xrightarrow{\mu} P^{\prime}$, there is a $Q^{\prime}$ such that $Q \xrightarrow{\mu} Q^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R} \Leftrightarrow Q^{\prime} ;{ }^{1}$
(b) the converse on transitions from $Q$.

Show that if $\mathcal{R}$ is a bisimulation up-to $\Leftrightarrow$, then $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \Leftrightarrow$.

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here, $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R} \Leftrightarrow$ is the two-fold relational composition of $\Leftrightarrow$ with $\mathcal{R}$ and, then again, with $\Leftrightarrow$.

