

# Science of Computational Logic

Steffen Hölldobler, Emmanuelle-Anna Dietz Saldanha

European Master's Program in Computational Logic — winter semester 2017/2018

## Problem 1.1

In the lectures the following example from Description Logics was presented:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \mathcal{K}_T : & \text{woman} \sqsubseteq \text{person}, \\
 & \text{man} \sqsubseteq \text{person}, \\
 & \text{mother} = \text{woman} \sqcap \exists \text{child} : \text{person}, \\
 & \text{father} = \text{man} \sqcap \exists \text{child} : \text{person}, \\
 & \text{parent} = \text{mother} \sqcup \text{father}, \\
 & \text{grandparent} = \text{parent} \sqcap \exists \text{child} : \text{parent}, \\
 & \text{father\_without\_son} = \text{father} \sqcap \forall \text{child} : \neg \text{man} \\
 \mathcal{K}_A : & \text{parent}(\text{carl}), \text{parent}(\text{conny}), \\
 & \text{child}(\text{conny}, \text{joe}), \text{child}(\text{conny}, \text{carl}), \\
 & \text{man}(\text{joe}), \text{man}(\text{carl}), \text{woman}(\text{conny}).
 \end{array}$$

Are the following consequences valid? **Justify** your answers.

1.  $\mathcal{K}_T \cup \mathcal{K}_A \models \text{grandparent}(\text{conny})$
2.  $\mathcal{K}_T \cup \mathcal{K}_A \models \text{father}(\text{carl})$
3.  $\mathcal{K}_T \cup \mathcal{K}_A \models \text{father\_without\_son}(\text{carl})$

## Problem 1.2

Prove that  $F \sqsubseteq G \equiv F \sqcap \neg G = \perp$

## Problem 1.3

Show that  $\text{grandparent} \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{K}_T} \text{parent}$  by reducing subsumption into concept satisfiability, where  $\mathcal{K}_T$  is the T-Box from Problem 1.1.

## Problem 1.4

Is the concept  $(\text{father} \sqcap \text{mother})$  satisfiable w.r.t.  $\mathcal{K}_T$  from Problem 1.1?

## Problem 1.5

1. Which generalized concept axioms must be added to prevent that a person is female and male?

2. Is there a single generalized concept axiom that prevents that a person is female and male?

### Problem 1.6

Give an equivalent concept of  $(\text{woman} \sqcap \exists \text{child.person})$  without using the constructors  $\sqcap$  and  $\exists r.C$

### Problem 1.7

Prove the following:

If  $(\forall r.C)(a) \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $r(a, b) \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \models C(b)$ .