

Exercise Sheet 1:
Mathematical Foundations, Decidability, and Recognisability

David Carral

October 16, 2019

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 1. Consider a non-empty set M and a function $f : M \rightarrow 2^M$. Show that f is NOT surjective.

Definition. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *surjective* iff, for every $y \in Y$, there is some $x \in X$ with $f(x) = y$.

Solution.

1. Suppose for a contradiction that there is a surjective function such as f . That is, f is a function such that, for every $y \in 2^M$, there is some $x \in M$ with $f(x) = y$.
2. Let $D = \{x \mid x \in M \text{ and } x \notin f(x)\}$. Note that $D \subseteq M$ and hence, $D \in 2^M$.
3. By (1) and (2): There is some element $x' \in M$ with $f(x') = D$.
4. By (2): If $x' \in D$, then $x' \notin f(x')$.
5. By (2) and (3): If $x' \notin f(x')$, then $x' \in D$.
6. By (3–5): $x' \in D$ iff $x' \notin D$ —a contradiction!

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 2. Show the following claims.

1. $|\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}|$.
2. $|\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{Q}|$.
3. $|\mathbb{N}| \neq |\mathbb{R}|$.

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 2. Show that $|\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}|$.

Definition.

- ▶ Two sets X and Y have the same cardinality iff there exists a *bijection* from A to B . That is, a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ that is both injective and surjective.
- ▶ Consider a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$.
 - ▶ f is *injective* iff, for every $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, we have that $f(x) \neq f(y)$.
 - ▶ f is *surjective* iff, for every $y \in Y$, there is some $x \in X$ with $f(x) = y$.

Solution. $f(n_1, n_2) = \frac{(n_1+n_2)(n_1+n_2+1)}{2} + n_1$ is a bijection from $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ to \mathbb{N} .

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} f(0, 0) = 0 & f(0, 1) = 1 & f(1, 0) = 2 & f(0, 2) = 3 & f(1, 1) = 4 & f(2, 0) = 5 \\ f(0, 3) = 6 & f(1, 2) = 7 & f(2, 1) = 8 & f(3, 0) = 9 & f(0, 4) = 10 & f(1, 3) = 11 \end{array}$$

(Yes, I assume that $0 \in \mathbb{N}$.)

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 2. Show that $|\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{Q}|$.

Solution.

- ▶ Every positive integer can be written uniquely as $p_1^{a_1} \cdot p_2^{a_2} \cdot \dots$, where the $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, p_3 = 5, \dots$ is the sequence of all primes, and the a_i are non-negative integers, and are non-zero for only finitely many i .
- ▶ Every positive rational number can be written uniquely as $p_1^{b_1} \cdot p_2^{b_2} \cdot \dots$, where the b_i are (possibly negative) integers and only finitely many of the b_i are non-zero.
- ▶ Let $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ (where we take \mathbb{N} to include 0):

$$s(n) = (-1)^n \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor$$

The sequence $s(0), s(1), s(2), s(3), \dots$ would be $0, -1, 1, -2, 2, \dots$

- ▶ The function s is a bijection from \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{Z} .
- ▶ For any $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdot p_2^{a_2} \cdot \dots$, let $h(n) = p_1^{s(a_1)} \cdot p_2^{s(a_2)} \cdot \dots$
- ▶ h is a bijection from \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{Q} .

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 2. Show that $|\mathbb{N}| \neq |\mathbb{R}|$.

Solution.

1. By Exercise 1: $|\mathbb{N}| < |2^{\mathbb{N}}|$
2. $|2^{\mathbb{N}}| \leq |[0, 1]|$
3. $|[0, 1]| \leq |\mathbb{R}|$
4. By (1–3): $|\mathbb{N}| < |\mathbb{R}|$

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 3. Show the following claims.

1. There exist non-regular languages.
2. There exist undecidable languages.
3. There exist non-Turing-recognisable languages.

Solution 1.

- (a) A language is regular iff it is recognised by a finite automaton (FA). A FA is a tuple $\langle Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_s, Q_f \rangle$ consisting of a finite set of states Q , a finite set of input symbols Σ , a transition function $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$, a start state $q_s \in Q$, and a set of final states $Q_f \subseteq Q$.
- (b) A FA can be represented with a finite string of 0s and 1s.
- (c) There is only countably many different FA and therefore, only countably many regular languages.
- (d) Since languages are sets of words, there are uncountably many different languages.
- (e) There is some non-regular language.

Solutions 2 and 3. We can make an analogous argument to show these claims.

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 4. Let $G = \{V, E\}$ be a SIMPLE undirected graph with $|V| \geq 2$. Show that G contains two or more nodes that have equal degree. That is, show that there is a pair of nodes that occur in the same number of edges.

Solution.

- (a) Every node in G has degree at most $|V| - 1$.
- (b) The degree of a node in V can take only $|V| - 1$ different values. Since there are $|V|$ nodes, there must be at least two with equal degree.

Pidgeonhole Principle. If n items are put into m containers, with $n > m$, then at least one container must contain more than one item.

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 5. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{s\}$, where

$$s = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if life will never be found on Mars,} \\ 1 & \text{if life will be found on Mars someday.} \end{cases}$$

Is \mathcal{A} decidable? For the purpose of this problem, assume that the question whether life will be found on Mars has an unambiguous yes or no answer.

Solution.

- ▶ **Definition:** A language \mathcal{L} is decidable iff there is some TM that decides \mathcal{L} .
- ▶ By the assumption above, we have that either $\mathcal{A} = \{0\}$ or $\mathcal{A} = \{1\}$.
- ▶ In either case, there exists a TM that decides \mathcal{L} .
- ▶ Language \mathcal{A} is decidable.

Remark. Every finite language is decidable.

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 6. Show that the class of Turing-decidable languages is closed under (1) union, (2) intersection, (3) concatenation, and (4) star.

Solution 1.

- ▶ Let \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' be some Turing decidable languages.
- ▶ There are some (standard) TM \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}' that decide \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' , respectively.
- ▶ Let \mathcal{M}'' be a 2-tape TM machine designed as follows:
 - ▶ Copy the input to the second tape.
 - ▶ Run \mathcal{M} on the first tape and \mathcal{M}' on the second.
 - ▶ \mathcal{M}'' accepts iff either run accepts.
- ▶ \mathcal{M}'' decides $\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{L}'$.

Solution 2. Small mod: \mathcal{M}'' accepts iff both run accepts. Then, \mathcal{M}'' decides $\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{L}'$.

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 6. Show that the class of Turing-decidable languages is closed under (1) union, (2) intersection, (3) concatenation, and (4) star.

Solution 3.

- ▶ We show that the concatenation of the decidable languages \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' , i.e., the language $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{L}' = \{wv \mid w \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } v \in \mathcal{L}'\}$, is decidable.
- ▶ There are some (standard) TM \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}' that decide \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' , respectively.
- ▶ Let \mathcal{M}'' be a 2-tape, non-deterministic TM machine designed as follows:
 - ▶ On input a_1, \dots, a_n , “non-deterministically” copy some (possibly empty) substring a_1, \dots, a_k on to the second tape and remove this substring from the input tape.
 - ▶ Run \mathcal{M} on the first tape and \mathcal{M}' on the second.
 - ▶ \mathcal{M}'' accepts iff both runs accept.
- ▶ \mathcal{M}'' decides $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{L}'$.

Solution 4. Discussion.

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 7. Show that the class of Turing-recognisable languages is closed under homomorphism.

Solution. Let $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$ be a homomorphism and let \mathcal{L} be a Turing-recognisable language. We show that, if \mathcal{L} is recognisable, then so is $f(\mathcal{L})$.

- ▶ There is an enumerator \mathcal{M} for the language \mathcal{L} .
- ▶ Let \mathcal{M}' be the enumerator that performs the following computation:
 - (a) Using the “code” from \mathcal{M} , produces the first/next word $w \in \mathcal{L}$.
 - (b) Applies f to w and then prints the resulting word (i.e., $f(w)$). Note that homomorphisms are always computable.
 - (c) Go to (a).

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 8. A *Turing machine with two-sided unbounded tape* is a single-tape Turing machine where the tape is unbounded on both sides. Argue that such machines can be simulated by ordinary Turing machines.

Solution. Discussion.

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 9. Let $\text{ALL}_{\text{DFA}} = \{\langle A \rangle \mid A \text{ is a DFA that accepts every word}\}$. Show that ALL_{DFA} is decidable.

Solution.

- ▶ Let \mathcal{L} be some language. The complement of \mathcal{L} , denoted with $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$, is the language containing a word w iff $w \notin \mathcal{L}$
- ▶ A language is decidable iff its complement is decidable.
- ▶ It suffices to show that $\overline{\text{ALL}_{\text{DFA}}}$ is decidable to solve the exercise.

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 9. Let $\overline{\text{ALL}_{\text{DFA}}} = \{\langle A \rangle \mid A \text{ is a DFA that rejects some word}\}$. Show that $\overline{\text{ALL}_{\text{DFA}}}$ is decidable.

Solution.

- ▶ Let $A = \langle Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_s, Q_f \rangle$ be a DFA (where Q is a finite set of states Q , Σ is a finite set of input symbols, $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$ is a deterministic transition function, $q_s \in Q$ is the start state, and $Q_f \subseteq Q$ is the set of final states).
- ▶ A state $q \in Q$ is *reachable* iff there is some sequence $\{\langle q_1, a_1 \rangle \mapsto q_2, \dots, \langle q_n, a_n \rangle \mapsto q_n\} \subseteq \delta$ with $q_1 = q_s$ and $q_n = q$.
- ▶ $\langle A \rangle \in \overline{\text{ALL}_{\text{DFA}}}$ iff there is some reachable, non-final state $q \in Q$.
- ▶ To show that $\overline{\text{ALL}_{\text{DFA}}}$ is decidable, we define a TM \mathcal{M} that will detect whether a DFA contains a reachable, non-final state.

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 10. Let $E_{\text{TM}} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM such that } \mathcal{L}(M) = \emptyset\}$. Show that $\overline{E_{\text{TM}}}$ is Turing-recognisable.

Definition. A language \mathcal{L} is Turing-recognisable iff there is a TM \mathcal{M} such that,

- ▶ \mathcal{M} accepts on any input $w \in \mathcal{L}$, and
- ▶ \mathcal{M} does not accept on any input $w \notin \mathcal{L}$.

Solution. Discussion.

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 11. Let C be a language. Prove that C is Turing-recognisable iff a decidable language D exists such that $C = \{x \mid \exists y. \langle x, y \rangle \in D\}$.

Solution. If C is Turing-recognisable, then there is a decidable language D such that $C = \{x \mid \exists y. \langle x, y \rangle \in D\}$

- (a) There is a TM \mathcal{M} that recognises C .
- (b) Let D be the language containing a word $\langle x, y \rangle$ iff $x \in C$ and y is the encoding of a finite sequence of configurations $C_0 \vdash_{\mathcal{M}} C_1 \vdash_{\mathcal{M}} \dots \vdash_{\mathcal{M}} C_n$ with C_0 the start configuration for x and \mathcal{M} and C_n an accepting configuration.
- (c) The language D satisfies all of the above requirements.
 - ▶ A word $x \in C$ iff there is some finite sequence of configurations such as the one described in (b).
 - ▶ The language D is decidable by a TM \mathcal{M}' which, on input $\langle x, y \rangle$, checks that y is a finite sequence of configurations such as the one described in (b).

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 11. Let C be a language. Prove that C is Turing-recognisable iff a decidable language D exists such that $C = \{x \mid \exists y. \langle x, y \rangle \in D\}$.

Solution. We show that, if there is a decidable language D such that $C = \{x \mid \exists y. \langle x, y \rangle \in D\}$, then C is Turing-recognisable.

1. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that both D and C are languages defined using only three symbols: “ a ”, “ b ”, and “ $,$ ”.
2. There is a TM \mathcal{M} that decides D .
3. The language C is recognised by a 2-tape TM \mathcal{M}' which, on input x , performs the following computation:
 - (a) Adds “ $,$ ” at the end of the input.
 - (b) Copy the string in the input tape onto the second tape and then check (using only the second tape) whether this word is in D (use the “code” in \mathcal{M}). If this is the case, *accept*. Otherwise, erase the second tape.
 - (c) Non-deterministically, append an “ a ” or a “ b ” at the end of the word of the input tape. Go to (b).