

SAT Solving

Prof. Steffen Hölldobler, Dr. Johannes Fichte

—Summer Term 2019—

02.04.2019

Exercise 1.1 (Sub-formulas)

- a. Give for each of the following formulas the set of all sub-formulas of the formula F_i .

$$F_1 = \neg(p_1 \wedge (p_2 \rightarrow \neg p_3))$$

$$F_2 = (p_1 \leftrightarrow \neg p_2) \vee (p_2 \wedge p_1)$$

- b. Are the following statements correct? Give a proof.
- (a) If all subformulas of F are satisfiable then F is also satisfiable.
- (b) A formula F is satisfiable if and only if at least one subformula is satisfiable.

Exercise 1.2 (Equivalences)

- a. Use the semantic equivalences presented in the lecture (slide 17) to transform stepwise the following formulas. The resulting formulas have to contain at most the \wedge , \vee and \neg connectives (and as few as possible).

- (a) $F_1 = \neg\neg\neg(p_1 \vee p_2)$
- (b) $F_2 = (p_1 \wedge p_2) \rightarrow p_3$
- (c) $F_3 = (p_1 \leftrightarrow \neg p_2) \vee (p_2 \wedge p_1)$

- b. Prove that the following statement is true $F \rightarrow G \equiv \neg F \vee G$.

Exercise 1.3 (Truth assignments and models)

Given an assignment α and a formula F , compute F under the assignment α .

Note that in the formulas we often simply use numbers as variables, e.g., 1, 2, 3 instead of x_1, x_2, x_3 . Further, we simply identify assignments by a set of literals, e.g., we write $\alpha = \{1, 2, -3\}$ instead of $\alpha = \{1 \mapsto 1, 2 \mapsto 1, 3 \mapsto 0\}$ (or its corresponding interpretation $\{1, 2\}$).

- | α | F |
|-----------------------------|---|
| a) $\{1, 2, 3\}$ | $(1 \rightarrow -3) \leftrightarrow (2 \wedge -1 \wedge 3)$ |
| b) $\{-1, 2, 3\}$ | |
| c) $\{-1, -2, -3, -4, -5\}$ | $\left((-2 \vee 1) \rightarrow (3 \leftrightarrow (5 \vee 2)) \right) \leftarrow (5 \wedge -3 \wedge 4)$ |
| d) $\{-1, -2, -3, 4, 5\}$ | |
| e) $\{1, 2, -3, 4, 5\}$ | |

Exercise 1.4 (Satisfiability and variants)

Consider the following formula in CNF.

$$F = (\neg x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_4) \wedge (x_1 \vee x_2) \wedge (x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \wedge (x_2 \vee \neg x_3) \wedge (\neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3 \vee \neg x_5)$$

Solve the following tasks:

- a. Decide whether the formula F is satisfiable.
- b. Provide a satisfying assignment/model of the formula F (if exists).
- c. Compute the number of satisfying assignments/models of the formula F .
- d. *Additional Task:* Compute the projected model count when projected to $\{x_4, x_5\}$.
The projected model count pmc of a formula is the number of models when we consider only variables projected to a set P of variables, i.e., $\text{pmc}(F) = \{J \cap P \mid J \subseteq \text{var}(F), J \models F\}$.

Exercise 1.5 (DPLL Algorithm)

Consider the following formulas.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 F_1 = & -1 \\
 & \wedge (2 \leftrightarrow 1) \\
 & \wedge (2 \vee 3) \\
 & \wedge (3 \rightarrow -2 \wedge -4) \\
 & \wedge (4 \vee 5 \vee 6) \\
 & \wedge (5 \rightarrow 7 \wedge 8) \\
 & \wedge (-7 \vee 8) \\
 & \wedge (5 \leftrightarrow -8) \\
 F_2 = & (1 \vee -2) \\
 & \wedge (1 \rightarrow 3) \\
 & \wedge (-3 \vee 2) \\
 & \wedge (-2 \vee (4 \wedge 5)) \\
 & \wedge (4 \leftrightarrow -3) \\
 & \wedge (5 \vee 6 \vee -2) \\
 & \wedge (5 \leftrightarrow -6) \\
 & \wedge (-1 \rightarrow (3 \vee 6))
 \end{array}$$

- a. Find a model for F_1 and a model for F_2 (maybe just by looking at the formulas).
- b. To each of formulas F_1 and F_2 : Apply the DPLL-algorithm and construct a model.

Exercise 1.6 (Resolution and tree-like resolution)

Consider the formula

$$F = (a \vee \neg b \vee d) \wedge (\neg a \vee \neg c \vee \neg d) \wedge (\neg a \vee \neg d) \wedge (b \vee c) \wedge (b \vee \neg e) \wedge (d \vee e) \wedge (\neg d \vee e) \wedge (\neg b \vee \neg e)$$

- a. Prove using (Davis-Putnam) resolution that the formula is unsatisfiable.
- b. Prove using tree-like resolution (by applying the DPLL-algorithm) that the formula is unsatisfiable.