## Complexity Theory

## Exercise 2: Undecidability and Rice's Theorem

28 October 2025

**Exercise 2.1.** Using an oracle that decides the halting problem, construct a decider for the language  $\{ \langle \mathcal{M}, w \rangle \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is a TM that accepts } w \}$ .

**Exercise 2.2.** A *useless state* in a Turing machine is one that is never entered on any input string. Consider the problem of determining whether a Turing machine has any useless states. Show that this language is undecidable.

**Exercise 2.3.** Show the following: "If a language **L** is Turing-recognisable and  $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$  is many-one reducible to **L**, then **L** is decidable."

**Exercise 2.4.** For this task assume an alphabet  $\Sigma$  with  $|\Sigma| > 1$ . Let

 $\mathbf{L} = \{ \langle \mathcal{M} \rangle \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ a TM that accepts } w^r \text{ whenever it accepts } w \text{ (for all } w \in \Sigma^* ) \},$ 

where  $w^r$  is the word w reversed. Show that **L** is undecidable.

**Exercise 2.5.** Consider the following languages L and L':

$$\mathbf{L} = \{ \langle \mathcal{M}, w \rangle \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is a TM that accepts } w \}$$

$$\mathbf{L}' = \{ \langle \mathcal{M} \rangle \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is a TM that does not accept any word } \}$$

Show that there cannot exist a many-one reduction from L to L'.

**Exercise 2.6.** Show that every Turing-recognisable language can be mapping-reduced to the following language.

$$\{\langle \mathcal{M}, w \rangle \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is a TM that accepts the word } w\}$$

**Exercise 2.7.** Use the approach presented in lecture 4 to create a quine in your favourite programming language (or just use Python). What is the equivalent of "TM concatenation" here? Also note that the function q is often more complicated than one might think, due to character escaping.