

Exercise Sheet 10: Randomised Computation

David Carral

January 23, 2020

Exercise 1

Exercise. Show that **MajSat** is in PP.

MajSat = $\{\phi \mid \phi \text{ is some propositional logic formula that}$
 $\text{is satisfied by more than half of its assignments}\}$

Definition. A *probabilistic Turing machine* (PTM) is a Turing machine with two deterministic transition functions, δ_0 and δ_1 . A *run of a PTM* is a TM run that uses either of the two transitions in each step.

Definition. A language \mathbf{L} is in *Polynomial Probabilistic Time* (PP) if there is a PTM \mathcal{M} such that all of the following hold.

- ▶ There is a polynomial function f such that \mathcal{M} will always halt after $f(|w|)$ steps on all input words w .
- ▶ If $w \in \mathbf{L}$, then $\Pr[\mathcal{M} \text{ accepts } w] > \frac{1}{2}$.
- ▶ If $w \notin \mathbf{L}$, then $\Pr[\mathcal{M} \text{ accepts } w] \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Exercise 1

Exercise. Show that **MajSat** is in PP.

MajSat = $\{\phi \mid \phi \text{ is some propositional logic formula that}$
 $\text{is satisfied by more than half of its assignments}\}$

Solution. Let \mathcal{M} be the PTM that performs the following computation on input ϕ .

1. We randomly produce an assignment \mathcal{I} for ϕ .
2. \mathcal{M} accepts ϕ iff $\mathcal{I} \models \phi$.

Remarks.

- ▶ \mathcal{M} runs in polynomial time in the size of the input.
- ▶ If $\phi \in \mathbf{L}$, then the probability of producing an assignment \mathcal{I} with $\mathcal{I} \models \phi$ is strictly larger than $\frac{1}{2}$ (as we are equally likely to produce any assignment). Hence, \mathcal{M} accepts ϕ with probability (strictly) larger than $\frac{1}{2}$.
- ▶ If $\phi \notin \mathbf{L}$, then the probability of producing \mathcal{I} with $\mathcal{I} \models \phi$ is at most $\frac{1}{2}$. Hence, \mathcal{M} accepts ϕ with probability smaller or equal than $\frac{1}{2}$.

Exercise 2

Exercise. Show $\text{BPP} = \text{coBPP}$.

Definition. A language \mathbf{L} is in *Bounded-Error Polynomial Probabilistic Time* (BPP) if there is a PTM \mathcal{M} such that all of the following hold.

1. There is a polynomial function f such that \mathcal{M} will always halt after $f(|w|)$ steps on all input words w .
2. If $w \in \mathbf{L}$, then $\Pr[\mathcal{M} \text{ accepts } w] \geq \frac{2}{3}$.
3. If $w \notin \mathbf{L}$, then $\Pr[\mathcal{M} \text{ accepts } w] \leq \frac{1}{3}$.

Remark.

$$(2) \wedge (3) \iff \forall w \in \Sigma^* (\Pr[\mathcal{M}(w) = \mathbf{L}(w)] \geq \frac{2}{3})$$

Exercise 2

Exercise. Show $\text{BPP} = \text{coBPP}$.

Solution. We show that $\text{coBPP} \subseteq \text{BPP}$.

1. We show that any arbitrarily chosen $\mathbf{L} \in \text{coBPP}$ is also in BPP.
2. By (1), $\bar{\mathbf{L}} \in \text{BPP}$.
3. By (2), there is a poly-time PTM \mathcal{M} with $\Pr[\bar{\mathbf{L}}(w) = \mathcal{M}(w)] \geq \frac{2}{3}$ for all $w \in \Sigma^*$.
4. Let \mathcal{M}' be the PTM that results from exchanging all accepting and rejecting states in \mathcal{M} .
5. By (3) and (4), \mathcal{M}' is poly-time bounded.
6. By (3) and (4), $\Pr[\mathcal{M}(w)] \geq \frac{2}{3}$ for all $w \in \bar{\mathbf{L}}$. Hence, $\Pr[\mathcal{M}'(w)] \leq \frac{1}{3}$.
7. By (3) and (4), $\Pr[\mathcal{M}(w)] \leq \frac{1}{3}$ for all $w \notin \bar{\mathbf{L}}$. Hence, $\Pr[\mathcal{M}'(w)] \geq \frac{2}{3}$.
8. By (6) and (7), \mathcal{M}' is a PTM with $\Pr[\mathbf{L}(w) = \mathcal{M}'(w)] \geq \frac{2}{3}$.
9. By (5) and (8), $\mathbf{L} \in \text{BPP}$.

We can make an analogous argument to show $\text{BPP} \subseteq \text{coBPP}$.

Exercise 3

Exercise. Show $\text{BPP}^{\text{BPP}} = \text{BPP}$.

Theorem 21.14. Consider a language \mathbf{L} and a poly-time PTM \mathcal{M} for which there is some $c > 0$ such that $\Pr[\mathcal{M}(w) = \mathbf{L}(w)] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{|w|^c}$ for all $w \in \Sigma^*$. Then, for all $d > 0$, there is a poly-time PTM \mathcal{M}' such that $\Pr[\mathcal{M}'(w) = \mathbf{L}(w)] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^{|w|^d}}$.

Solution. High-level structure.

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{L} \in \text{BPP}^{\mathbf{O}}$ for some $\mathbf{O} \in \text{BPP}$.
- ▶ There is some POTM $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{O}}$ such that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{O}}$ that accepts \mathbf{L} , $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{O}}$ has error probability smaller than $1/16$, and $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{O}}$ is time bounded by some polynomial $p(n)$.
- ▶ Starting from $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{O}}$, we define a polytime PTM \mathcal{M}' accepting \mathbf{L} with error probability smaller than $\frac{135}{256}$.

Exercise 3

Solution.

1. There is some PTM \mathcal{N} that accepts $\mathbf{0}$, has error probability $< 2^{-p(n)}$, and is time bounded by some polynomial $q(n)$.
2. Let \mathcal{M}' be the TM that behaves like \mathcal{M} does, but instead of querying the oracle it calls the machine \mathcal{N} directly.
3. We show that \mathcal{M}' accepts \mathbf{L} with error probability of $< \frac{1}{3}$.
 - 3.1 By (1), $Pr[\mathcal{M}'(w) = \mathbf{L}(w)] = (1 - \frac{1}{2^{p(|w|)}})^{p(|w|)} \cdot \frac{15}{16}$ for all $w \in \Sigma^*$.
 - 3.2 Proof via induction: $(1 - \frac{1}{2^k})^k \geq \frac{9}{16}$ for all $k \geq 2$.
 - 3.3 By (1) and (2), at least $\frac{9}{16} \cdot \frac{15}{16} = \frac{135}{256} > \frac{1}{2}$ of the computations of \mathcal{M}' are correct.
 - 3.4 Hence, \mathcal{M}' accepts \mathbf{L} with error probability smaller than $\frac{135}{256}$.
4. We show that \mathcal{M}' is poly-time bounded.
 - 4.1 On input w , \mathcal{M}' makes at most $p(|w|)$ “oracle” calls (i.e., calls to \mathcal{N}), each of with input of length at most $p(|w|)$. Hence, this takes time at most $q(p(|w|))$ steps.
 - 4.2 \mathcal{M}' is bounded by $p(n) \cdot q(p(n))$.
 - 4.3 Since $p(n)$ and $q(n)$ are polynomials, $p(n) \cdot q(p(n))$ is also a polynomial.

Exercise 4

Exercise. Find the error in the following argument that shows $PP = BPP$:

Let $L \in PP$. Then there exists a poly-time bounded PTM accepting L with error probability smaller than $\frac{1}{2}$. Using error reduction, we can make this error arbitrarily small, and in particular smaller than $\frac{1}{3}$. Hence, $L \in BPP$.

Theorem 21.14. Consider a language L and a poly-time PTM \mathcal{M} for which there is some $c > 0$ such that $\Pr[\mathcal{M}(w) = L(w)] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{|w|^c}$ for all $w \in \Sigma^*$. Then, for all $d > 0$, there is a poly-time PTM \mathcal{M}' such that $\Pr[\mathcal{M}'(w) = L(w)] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^{|w|^d}}$.

Solution. Step by step counter-example.

1. Let $L \in PP$.
2. There is some PTM \mathcal{M} such that $\Pr[\mathcal{M}(w) = L(w)] > \frac{1}{2}$ for all $w \in \Sigma^*$ and \mathcal{M} is time bounded by some polynomial $p(n)$
3. It is possible that the $\Pr[\mathcal{M}(w) = L(w)] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{p(n)}}$ (discuss **MajSat**).
4. We cannot apply Theorem 21.14 to verify the existence of a machine \mathcal{M}' that characterises L with bounded error probability of at most $\frac{1}{3}$.

Exercise 5

Exercise. Let \mathcal{M} be a polynomial-time PTM. We say that \mathcal{M} has *error probability smaller than $\frac{1}{3}$* if and only if, for all $w \in \Sigma^*$, $Pr[\mathcal{M} \text{ accepts } w] < \frac{1}{3}$ or $Pr[\mathcal{M} \text{ accepts } w] \geq \frac{2}{3}$. Show that deciding whether a polynomial-time probabilistic TM has error probability smaller than $\frac{1}{3}$ is undecidable.

Solution. *High-level idea.*

1. We define a many-one reduction from \mathbf{E}_{TM} (i.e., the empty word problem).
2. Let \mathcal{M} be a TM.
3. We construct a 2-tape PTM \mathcal{N} with error probability $< \frac{1}{3}$ iff \mathcal{M} accepts the empty word iff $\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle \in \mathbf{E}_{TM}$.

On input w , the 2-tape PTM \mathcal{N} performs the following computation.

1. Make a coin flip and *reject* if the result is heads.
2. Otherwise, simulate \mathcal{M} on the empty word using the working tape for $|w|$ steps.
3. If this simulation accepts, the machine *accepts*. Otherwise, it *rejects*.

Discuss: If $\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle \notin \mathbf{E}_{TM}$, then \mathcal{N} rejects all inputs.

Exercise 5

Exercise. Let \mathcal{M} be a polynomial-time probabilistic Turing machine. We say that \mathcal{M} has *error probability smaller than* $\frac{1}{3}$ if and only if, for all $w \in \Sigma^*$, $\Pr[\mathcal{M} \text{ accepts } w] < \frac{1}{3}$ or $\Pr[\mathcal{M} \text{ accepts } w] \geq \frac{2}{3}$. Show that deciding whether a polynomial-time probabilistic TM has error probability smaller than $\frac{1}{3}$ is undecidable.

Solution. On input w , the 2-tape PTM \mathcal{N} performs the following computation.

1. Make a coin flip and *reject* if the result is heads.
2. Otherwise, simulate \mathcal{M} on the empty word using the working tape for $|w|$ steps.
3. If this simulation accepts, the machine *accepts*. Otherwise, it *rejects*.

Discuss: If $\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle \notin \mathbf{E}_{TM}$, then \mathcal{N} rejects all inputs.

We show that if $\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle \in \mathbf{E}_{TM}$, then there is some input word w that \mathcal{N} accepts with probability $\frac{1}{2}$.

1. For some $k \geq 0$, the TM \mathcal{M} accepts ε after k steps.
2. By (1), any word w with $|w| \geq k$ is accepted by \mathcal{N} with probability $\frac{1}{2}$.
3. By (2), the PTM \mathcal{N} does not have error probability $< \frac{1}{3}$.

Since \mathcal{N} can be computed from \mathcal{M} , we obtain a reduction from \mathbf{E}_{TM} (which is undecidable) to the problem of recognising poly-time PTMs with error probability $< \frac{1}{3}$.

Exercise 6

Exercise. Show that $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PP}$.

Solution.

1. Let $\mathbf{L} \in \text{NP}$.
2. There is a poly-time bounded NDTM \mathcal{M} that decides \mathbf{L} such that every state in \mathcal{M} has at most 2 outgoing transitions for the same input.
3. Let \mathcal{M}' be the PTM defined as follows: \mathcal{M}' is identical to \mathcal{M} , but instead of choosing an option non-deterministically, it flips a coin and chooses randomly.
4. For all $w \in \mathbf{L}$, $\Pr[\mathcal{M}' \text{ accepts } w] > 0$.
5. For all $w \notin \mathbf{L}$, $\Pr[\mathcal{M}' \text{ accepts } w] = 0$.
6. We construct yet another TM \mathcal{M}'' which, on input w , performs the following computation:
 - ▶ Toss a coin and *accept* if the result is heads.
 - ▶ Simulate \mathcal{M}' on w . *Accept* if and only if this simulation accepts.
7. For all $w \in \mathbf{L}$, $\Pr[\mathcal{M}'' \text{ accepts } w] > \frac{1}{2}$.
8. For all $w \notin \mathbf{L}$, $\Pr[\mathcal{M}'' \text{ accepts } w] = \frac{1}{2}$.
9. \mathcal{M}'' is poly-time bounded.
10. By (7-9), $\mathbf{L} \in \text{PP}$

Exercise 7

Exercise. Show the Schwartz-Zippel lemma: Consider a non-zero multivariate polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of total degree $\leq d$, and a finite set S of integers. If r_1, \dots, r_n are chosen randomly (with replacement) from S , then $\Pr[f(r_1, \dots, r_n) = 0] \leq \frac{d}{|S|}$.

Solution.

1. Theorem: A polynomial of degree d can have at most d distinct real roots.
2. Proof via induction: we directly proceed with the induction step.
3. We write $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ as a polynomial in the first variable $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^k \cdot c_k(x_2, \dots, x_n) + \dots + (x_1^0) \cdot c_0(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ such that $c_k(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is not the zero polynomial.
4. Let E_1 to be the event " $c_k(r_2, \dots, r_n) = 0$ ". Randomly choose the values of r_2, \dots, r_n and assume that E_1 did not occur.
5. Let $g(r_1) = f(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$
6. Discuss: $\Pr[g(r_1) = 0 \mid \neg E_1] \leq \frac{k}{|S|}$ (note that g is a non-zero polynomial).
7. Let E_2 be the event " $g(r_1) = 0$ ", which is equivalent to " $f(r_1, \dots, r_n) = 0$ ".

Exercise 7

Exercise. Show the Schwartz-Zippel lemma: Consider a non-zero multivariate polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of total degree $\leq d$, and a finite set S of integers. If r_1, \dots, r_n are chosen randomly (with replacement) from S , then $\Pr[f(r_1, \dots, r_n)] = 0 \leq \frac{d}{|S|}$.

Solution.

- ▶ E_1 is the event " $c_k(r_2, \dots, r_n) = 0$ "
- ▶ E_2 be the event " $g(r_1) = 0$ " (that is, " $f(r_1, \dots, r_n) = 0$ ")
- ▶ $\Pr[E_2 \mid \neg E_1] \leq \frac{k}{|S|}$
- ▶ Discuss: $\Pr[E_1] \leq \frac{d-k}{|S|}$

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr[E_2] &= \Pr[E_2 \wedge E_1] + \Pr[E_2 \wedge \neg E_1] \\ &\leq \Pr[E_2 \wedge E_1] + \Pr[E_2 \mid \neg E_1] \cdot \Pr[\neg E_1] \\ &\leq \Pr[E_1] + \Pr[E_2 \mid \neg E_1] \\ &\leq \frac{d-k}{|S|} + \frac{k}{|S|} = \frac{d}{|S|}\end{aligned}$$