

**Exercise Sheet 6: Towards Writing**  
Maximilian Marx, Sebastian Rudolph  
Academic Skills in Computer Science, 2019-05-21, Summer Term 2020

**Exercise 6.1.** Are the following citations complete? If not, which information is missing? Are there any other mistakes?

1. A. Author et. al. 2014. Semantic Somethings. In: Proc. 13th Int. Conf. on the Semantic Web (ISWC'14). Springer.
2. R. Researcher et al. 2015. Results on Research. In: ISWC'15. Springer.
3. L. Logician and S. Symbolicist. Symbolicity of Logics. J. Symb. Log.
4. D. &#352;trangel&#339;ve. 1964. How I Learned to Stop Worrying and Love the Bomb. Technical Report.
5. E. Expert. 2015. Personal Communication.
6. W. Writer. 2001. A Whitespace Odyssey.
7. <https://doi.org/10.1000/123456>
8. P. Presenter. 2014. Semantic Posters. In: Proc. 13th Int. Conf. on the Semantic Web (ISWC'14). CEUR Workshop Proceedings. CEUR-WS.org.

**Exercise 6.2.** Read the introductions of the following papers. For every paragraph, come up with a “headline” summarising it.

1. Aleksey Buzmakov, Amedeo Napoli: How Fuzzy FCA and Pattern Structures are Connected?
2. Jeffrey M. Dudek, Kuldeep S. Meel, Moshe Y. Vardi: The Hard Problems Are Almost Everywhere For Random CNF-XOR Formulas.

**Exercise 6.3.** Read the article <https://digest.bps.org.uk/2019/05/01/researchers-identity-sleep-as-a-key-reason-why-personality-traits-predict-longevity/>.

Assuming that the article were a research report, assign a rank in each of the following categories, using the scale  $-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3$  (from worst to best): originality, significance, relevance, quality of writing, technical quality, and overall score.

Now write a review for the article: start by writing a paragraph that summarises the article, then explain each of your scores in a separate paragraph.

You may assume that originality and relevance are sufficient for acceptance.